### CONSULTATION 3

#### 3.1 **Consultation Overview**

From the outset of the study, the study team realized that the Detroit River International Crossing project would have benefits and impacts on many stakeholders throughout the Windsor and Essex County area. Based on this realization, the team set out to develop a consultation framework which would include a wide variety of stakeholders and allow opportunities for meaningful two-way dialog throughout the project. To this end, the study team established the following consultation groups early in 2005:

- Municipal Advisory Group (MAG): Consisting of area municipalities and the County of Essex. As the study progressed, school boards were also invited to join the MAG.
- Canadian Agency Advisory Group (CANAAG): Consisting of agencies involved in the review and approval of the OEA and CEAA Report.
- Private Sector Advisory Group (PSAG): A bi-national consultation group. There were invitations sent to several business owners and associations in Canada and the US.
- Crossing Owners/Operators/Proponents (COOP): Consisting of owners and operators of current border crossings as well as private sector proponents of new or expanded crossings.
- Community Consultation Group (CCG): The study team solicited membership, from the public, with a wide variety of backgrounds and interest to join the CCG. Everyone who asked to be involved was included in the group. Participants volunteered their time to meet with the team on a regular basis, learn about the project, and share their ideas and interests.
- Consultation with the **First Nations** began at the study commencement in January, 2005. Several First Nations groups were initially consulted.

The above-noted groups were established early in 2005 and the team has met with each of them several times as detailed in the following sections. As the study evolved, the team has consulted with various other interests groups and stakeholders, including community groups, business owners, and individual property owners. Specifically, after the selection of the ACA (see Chapter 6), a School Advisory Group was formed to provide more direct consultation with local school councils. In addition to the above the team maintained extensive coordination and consultation with the US study team and relevant stakeholders. Specifically, Working Group and Steering Committee meetings were held at regular intervals throughout the 4-year period. Study team representatives reciprocated attendance at most public meetings held on the opposite side of the border.

In addition to the above, the study team has consulted with the general public throughout the course of the study. The main forum for public consultation has been Public Information Open Houses (PIOH) and follow up workshops, bus and boat tours, as well as several context sensitive solutions workshops and an initial public outreach meeting. Each meeting was extensively advertised and well attended, in some cases, by over 1,000 citizens. The PIOHs consisted of display boards and handout materials, as well as video animations of proposals and other relevant information. PIOHs and workshops were staffed by several technical representatives from the study team as appropriate. These included



technical and environmental specialists (air, noise, natural, heritage, etc.), and the lead consultant, MTO (project management, environmental, and property specialists). At each public event comments were solicited and responded to. Throughout the course of the study the team has also met with various community groups, as appropriate, in order to further understand and respond to specific issues and concerns.

To further general public knowledge about the project, the study team also established a project website, which has been maintained throughout the course of the study (www.partnershipborderstudy.com). This website has provided up-to-date information on the study progress as well as draft reports as they have become available. A second project website (www.weparkway.ca) was added in the spring of 2008 to highlight the Technically and Environmentally Preferred Alternative for the access road portion of the study. The public has been further informed about the study through the local media. Study progress has been widely covered by the local newspaper, radio stations, and television stations.

As noted above, the Environmental Assessment Study for Detroit River International Crossing project has included extensive consultation with a wide variety of stakeholders. These consultation activities are depicted graphically as Exhibit 3.1. Table 3.1 summarizes the consultation activities in chronological order. Table 3.2 provides a listing of the consultation activities sorted by stakeholder. These tables highlight the fact that over 300 meetings have been held throughout the study. Consultation has occurred during every phase of the project with stakeholders, including:

- Municipalities
- Federal and Provincial Agencies
- Community Groups
- First Nations
- Business Owners
- Proponents of New River Crossing Initiatives
- The General Public
- **Emergency Services** •
- Utility Companies

The consultation has been undertaken using many forums, including Public Information Open House (PIOH) workshops, meetings, and correspondence.

The information received through these consultation activities has been considered in the development, analysis and evaluation of alternatives. In some cases, the comments and/or desires of interested stakeholders were not supported by the study team's analysis and evaluation, in which case they are not reflected in the final outcomes. However, in many cases the comments reinforced the analysis/evaluation and/or caused the team to adjust its thinking regarding the balance of impacts and benefits of the undertaking. In this way, the consultation has influenced the outcome of the project in many significant ways. Several of these are summarized as follows:



• The Schwartz Report: Released by the City of Windsor in January 2005, this report outlined a vision for a new border crossing and plaza in the Brighton Beach area, and a controlled access





facility connecting to Highway 401. The report discounted alternatives, such as use of EC Row Expressway, and the DRTP Corridor through the central parts of Windsor. The report considered access road alternatives in the Huron Church Road / Highway 3 corridor, the corridor which was ultimately selected by the study team as the preferred route for the access road.

- Rating Tool: Seven evaluation factors were developed in consultation with the public received during the P/NF study and from the Initial Public Outreach (IPO) meeting. Public input relative to the weighting of the factors was obtained through a rating tool distributed at the first round of PIOHs in June 2005. Rating tools were also available through the local Project Office and on the project website. Interested members of the public were asked to provide the study team with their opinion as to how highly (on a scale of 0 to 100) the study team should consider each of the factors in deciding on what alternatives to carry forward for additional study. These responses served to enhance the study team's appreciation of the communities concerns and values.
- Consultation with the Municipal Advisory Group: Among many useful contributions, the Municipal Advisory Group outlined a vision in terms of the role and function of the future service road. This had considerable influence on the development of the alignments of the service road, as well as the ramp locations.

The Municipal Advisory Group also outlined a vision for the Highway 3 interchange, which would help focus traffic away from the existing intersection of Howard Avenue / Highway 3 and more towards Highway 401, leading to and from the eastern parts of Windsor. These discussions had a direct bearing on the development of alternatives and the final selection of an interchange design at the Highway 3 / Highway 401 area.

The Municipal Advisory Group also requested the study team to consider the use of roundabouts at one of more strategic locations in the corridor. This led directly to consideration of roundabouts and selection of a roundabout at the Highway 3 / Highway 401 interchange ramps.

The Municipal Advisory Group also discussed the advisability of partial interchange ramps to and from Malden Road. These were included as part of the original concepts, however, subsequently determined as not being necessary. The absence of these ramps facilitated moving the alignment of the access road closer to EC Row and ultimately integrating it into the EC Row corridor so as to minimize impacts to the natural area and nearby communities.

- Consultations with the City of Windsor, Municipal Advisory Group, Community Consultation Group, the public and many stakeholders within the community influenced the decision to stop further work on "At Grade" alternatives part way through the analysis of practical options and to further develop "Below Grade" alternatives. These stakeholders also had a direct influence on the team's decision to develop a new alternative called The Parkway, a green transportation corridor which included a below-grade freeway, an end-to-end recreational trail system, and numerous tunnel sections along the Access Road corridor.
- Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) Workshops: To follow up on PIOH's, the team convened CSS workshops in 2006 and 2007. Study team members participating in the meeting included PMA Landscape Architects. They worked with citizens to identify themes for buffer and landscaping. There was strong community interest in naturalized areas and ecological restoration, which has influenced the development of The Parkway alternative and mitigation treatments for the preferred alternative.

Draft Environmental Assessment Report – W.O. 04-33-002 November 2008



- level of acceptance by the community and the City of Windsor.
- this consultation the tunnel design was revised at this particular location.
- right-of-way.
- Road.

The following sections summarize consultations with many of the public, and key stakeholders, which are illustrated in Exhibit 3.1.





Discussions with the Sandwich Community: Several discussions took place with representatives of the Sandwich community highlighting the historical importance of old Sandwich Towne. The historic nature and sensitivities of this community were considered throughout the analysis of practical alternatives for the plaza and bridge crossing. Ultimately a location removed from the

Spring Garden Community Meetings: Meetings held with the Spring Garden community in 2008 indicated dissatisfaction with The Windsor-Essex Parkway alignment as it had been recommended in May 2008. This input prompted the team to develop a refined alignment, which integrates The Windsor-Essex Parkway into the E.C. Row Expressway corridor. This refinement has met with a

Consultation with Oliver Estates Community: The August 2007 Parkway alternative originally envisioned a tunnel section at Howard Avenue. Subsequent discussion with the community indicated that the tunnel would have more benefit if shifted further westerly. As a direct result of

• Consultation with Residents in the areas of Kendleton Court, Sansotta Court, and other specific areas: These discussions have resulted in the team considering a wider buffer area and additional

• Consultation with Residents on Huron Church Line: Consultation with residents on Huron Church Line near the Highway 3 intersection has resulted in refinements to the alignment proposed for Huron Church Line and development of a short cul-de-sac to provide access to these residents.

• Consultation with Emergency Service Departments: Consultation with Windsor and LaSalle Fire Departments has led directly to development of the interchange design at Todd Lane / Cabana





### **EXHIBIT 3.1 – STUDY STAKEHOLDERS**











### TABLE 3.1 – CONSULTATION MEETINGS BY DATE

#	MEETING	DATE
1	Meeting with Town of LaSalle	22-Feb-05
2	Meeting with City of Windsor	24-Feb-05
3	Meeting with County of Essex	24-Feb-05
6	CBSA Meeting	17-Mar-05
7	Windsor City Council	21-Mar-05
8	LaSalle Town Council	22-Mar-05
9	PSAG Meeting	23-Mar-05
5	COOP Meetings (individual by organization)	22 & 23-Mar-05
10	MAG Meeting	29-Mar-05
11	CANAAG Meeting	31-Mar-05
12	CBSA Meeting	31-Mar-05
22	Initial Public Outreach Meeting	5 & 6-Apr-05
13	COOP Meetings (DRTP)	8-Apr-05
14	Binational Border Agencies Meeting	21-Apr-05
15	COOP Meetings (AMB)	28-Apr-05
16	First Nations (Oneida)	4-May-05
17	Community Consultation Group Meeting #1	11-May-05
19	US Border Agencies Meeting	12-May-05
20	CBSA Meeting	18-May-05
21	MNR Meeting	18-May-05
	WWCTWC	26-May-05
23	PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	2-Jun-05
24	Community Consultation Group Meeting #2	9-Jun-05
27	NBEST Meeting	14-Jun-05
28	Essex County Council	20-Jun-05
29	Windsor City Council	20-Jun-05
32	MAG Meeting	21-Jun-05
33	CANAAG Meeting	22-Jun-05
34	PSAG Meeting	23-Jun-05
35	First Nations (WIFN)	27-Jun-05
31	US Public Meeting	27-Jun-05
36	COOP Meeting	28-Jun-05
30	Public Information Open House (PIOH) 1	21, 27 & 28-Jun- 05
38	BASF Corporation Meeting	12-Jul-05
39	Community Consultation Group Meeting #3	13-Jul-05
40	MAG Meeting	14-Jul-05

¥	MEETING	DATE
41	PIOH1 Workshop	14 & 20-Jul-(
42	MAG Meeting (Windsor Peer Review Team)	29-Jul-05
43	MAG Meeting (Tecumseh)	17-Aug-05
44	MAG Meeting (Windsor)	23-Aug-05
45	US Scoping Meeting	31-Aug-05
46	Community Consultation Group Meeting #4 - Joint with LAC	28-Sep-05
47	CBSA Meeting	19-Oct-05
48	Community Consultation Group Meeting #5	25-Oct-05
49	US LAC Meeting	26-Oct-05
50	PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	17-Nov-05
53	Essex County Council	28-Nov-05
54	Windsor City Council	28-Nov-05
55	US LAC Meeting	28-Nov-05
57	MAG Meeting	29-Nov-05
58	Sandwich Development Task Force Meeting	30-Nov-05
59	CANAAG Meeting	1-Dec-05
56	Public Information Open House (PIOH) 2	29 & 30-Nov- and 01-Dec-
60	Windsor Port Authority Meeting	2-Dec-05
61	COOP Meeting	6-Dec-05
62	PSAG Meeting	7-Dec-05
63	US Public Meeting	8-Dec-05
64	Greater Essex County School Board Meeting	14-Dec-05
66	Essex Aggregates Meeting	15-Dec-05
67	Essex Terminal Railway Meeting	15-Dec-05
68	Lou Romano Water Reclamation Plant Meeting	15-Dec-05
69	Van De Hogen Meeting	15-Dec-05
70	Windsor Salt Meeting	15-Dec-05
65	Sandwich Community Heritage Group Meeting	15-Dec-05
71	Brighton Beach Power Meeting	16-Dec-05
72	Hydro One Meeting	16-Dec-05
73	U.S. Border Agencies Meeting	19-Dec-05
74	US Workshop Meeting	21-Dec-05
75	US Workshop Meeting	4-Jan-06
76	Sandwich Community Task Force Meeting	10-Jan-06
78	CBSA Meeting (+ tour)	11-Jan-06
77	Community Consultation Group Meeting #6	11-Jan-06
79	Huron Church Business Owners Meeting	12-Jan-06

#	MEETING	DATE	# MEETING	DATE
05 80	Windsor Ward 1&2 Councilors' Meeting	18-Jan-06	117 MAG Meeting	26-Apr-06
81	US Workshop Meeting	18-Jan-06	118School Board Meeting	26-Apr-06
82	2 MAG Meeting	19-Jan-06	119 Community Consultation Group Meeting #9	27-Apr-06
83	B First Nations (WIFN)	20-Jan-06	121 Armanda Street Residents	10-May-06
84	PIOH2 Workshop (Plazas)	25-Jan-06	120MDOT Tour for JIBA	10-May-06
85	Windsor City Councilor Meeting	26-Jan-06	122MAG Meeting	24-May-06
86	PIOH 2 Workshop (Routes)	26-Jan-06	123 Windsor & District Chamber of Commerce Meeting	29-May-06
87	Public Question & Answer Session	1-Feb-06	124 School Council Meeting	30-May-06
89	MAG Meeting	7-Feb-06	125 US CSS Bus Tour	8-Jun-06
88	PIOH 2 Workshop (Routes Revised)	7-Feb-06	126 Sandwich Towne Community Task Force Tour of Delray	14-Jun-06
91	Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	8-Feb-06	127 St. Clair College Meeting	21-Jun-06
90	Community Consultation Group Meeting #7	8-Feb-06	128Heritage Park Alliance Church Meeting	21-Jun-06
92	PIOH 2 Workshop (Plazas and Crossing)	9-Feb-06	129PIOH3 Workshops	23-Jun-06
93	Windsor & District Chamber of Commerce Meeting	15-Feb-06	130PIOH3 Workshops	24-Jun-06
94	Protect Windsor Meeting	15-Feb-06	132 Community Consultation Group Meeting #10	26-Jun-06
95	Coco Corporation Meeting	16-Feb-06	131 Canadian CSS Bus Tour	26-Jun-06
	Royal Canadian Legion Br. #594 Meeting	16-Feb-06	139Presentation to Windsor-Essex County District School Board	8-Jul-06
	Public Meeting (Talbot Road/Huron Church)	21-Feb-06	of Trustees	
	First Nations (WIFN)	28-Feb-06	133Meeting with RCMP/NRCAN	10-Jul-06
	Port Authority & Sterling Fuels Meeting	1-Mar-06	134 Meeting with LaSalle Councillors (not formal council meeting)	11-Jul-06
	00Community Consultation Group Meeting #8 - Joint with LAC	22-Mar-06	135Huron Church Business Owners Association Meeting	26-Jul-06
	2CBSA Meeting	23-Mar-06	136Meeting with City of Windsor Representatives	26-Jul-06
	3Briefing of Mayors & Warden	27-Mar-06	137 Meeting with Vidican Engineering	27-Jul-06
	PSAG Meeting	28-Mar-06	138Meeting with Ministry of Tourism	3-Aug-06
	7CANAAG Meeting	29-Mar-06	140 Presentation to DaimlerChrysler	15-Aug-06
	6/MAG Meeting (Windsor Peer Review Team)	29-Mar-06	141US CSS Workshops	24-Aug-06
	55Public Information Open House (PIOH) 3	28 & 30-Mar-06	142Drilling Information Session with STCTF	31-Aug-06
	8Presentation to WIFN Council	3-Apr-06	143MAG Meeting	5-Sep-06
	6 Presentation to Windsor Essex County Environmental	3-Apr-06	144 Community Consultation Group Meeting #11	6-Sep-06
	Committee	3-Api-00	146 Meeting with Valente Real Estate	7-Sep-06
10	9 Tour of Sandwich with Detroit City Council	5-Apr-06	147Bi-National Coast Guard Meeting	13-Sep-06
11	0PSAG Meeting	6-Apr-06	148Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	19-Sep-06
11	1Oakwood Parent's Council	10-Apr-06	149Canadian CSS Workshops	2 & 3-Oct-0
11	2MAG Meeting	11-Apr-06	150 Social Impact Assessment Workshop	21-Oct-06
11	3PIOH2 Workshop	11-Apr-06	151 Community Consultation Group Meeting #12	26-Oct-06
11	4RCMP/EMO/OPP/CBSA/Mun. Emergency Services Meeting	12-Apr-06	152CSS Workshop (Detroit)	3-Nov-06
11	5PIOH2 Workshop	12-Apr-06	153 CBSA Meeting	7-Nov-06
	6 Talbot Road Residents	18-Apr-06	154 First Nations (WIFN)	9-Nov-06









# MEETING	DATE	# MEETING
155 Presentation to Bellewood School	14-Nov-06	193 Meeting v
156CSS Workshop (Windsor)	15-Nov-06	194 Heritage
157 Presentation to Windsor Essex County Environmental Committee	23-Nov-06	195 Natural S
158MAG Meeting	29-Nov-06	197 Meeting v
159Community Consultation Group Meeting #13 – Joint w/US LAC	29-Nov-06	198 Presentat 199 Meeting v
160 Meeting with Councilor Halberstadt	4-Dec-06	200 PSAG Me
164 Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	5-Dec-06	202 Meeting v
161Meeting with Dainty Foods	5-Dec-06	201 Meeting v
162 Meeting with Citizens Protecting Ojibway Wilderness	5-Dec-06	203 Meeting v
166 CANAAG Meeting	6-Dec-06	204 Meeting v
165Mayor Briefing (PIOH #4)	6-Dec-06	205 Meeting v
167Public Information Open House (PIOH) 4	06 & 07-Dec-06	207 Presentat
168PSAG Meeting	8-Dec-06	206 Meeting v
169Meeting with City of Windsor Staff	13-Dec-06	208 Meeting v
170 Teleconference with Coast Guard	8-Jan-07	209 Meeting v
171PIOH #4 Workshop	9-Jan-07	210 Presenta
172Windsor Port Authority Meeting	10-Jan-07	211 Meeting v
173 PIOH #4 Workshop	10-Jan-07	213 Detroit R
174 Meeting with Windsor Port Authority & Sterling Fuels	19-Jan-07	214 Elected C
175CBSA Meeting	23-Jan-07	215 Media Br
176Sandwich Towne Community Meeting	25-Jan-07	217 PSAG M
177 Social Impact Assessment Workshop	26-Jan-07	216Public Int
178 Social Impact Assessment Workshop	27-Jan-07	219Commun
179Meeting with Essex Region Conservation Authority	30-Jan-07	220 PIOH 5 V
180Meeting with Southwest Sales	30-Jan-07	218MAG Me
181Meeting with Royal Canadian Legion Br. 594	31-Jan-07	221 PIOH5 W
182Meeting with LaSalle Utilities	31-Jan-07	222 Presenta
183Meeting with DFO	15-Feb-07	223 Presenta
185Community Consultation Group Meeting #14	21-Feb-07	224 Presenta
184 Tour of ACA with Mike Weis, University of Windsor	21-Feb-07	225 CANAAG
186First Nations (WIFN)	23-Feb-07	226 Meeting
187 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	27-Feb-07	227 Meeting v
188Recreational Boaters Meeting	28-Feb-07	228 Municipa
189Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	1-Mar-07	229 Meeting v
190Assumption Town Hall Meeting	3-Mar-07	230 Meeting v
191Meeting with Ontario Ministry of the Environment (Noise)	6-Mar-07	231 Meeting v
192Meeting with RCMP/NRCAN	9-Mar-07	232 Meeting v

194Heritage Park Alliance Church Meeting16-Mar-07195Natural Science Agencies' Meeting27-Mar-07197Meeting with Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association4-Apr-07198Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group10-Apr-07200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07201Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07202Peeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets15-May-07203Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07206Meeting with Ord of Tecumseh30-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Presentation to County of Essex Council14-Aug-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Meeting15-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07221PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07224Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC) <th># MEETING</th> <th>DATE</th>	# MEETING	DATE
198 Natural Science Agencies' Meeting27-Mar-07197 Meeting with Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association4-Apr-07198 Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group10-Apr-07199 Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07200 PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07201 Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07202 Weeting with Canadian Shipowners Association15-May-07203 Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association15-May-07204 Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07205 Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205 Meeting with City of Windsor28-May-07207 Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208 Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209 Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210 Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211 Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212 Deroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07213 Detoit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214 Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215 Media Briefing14-Aug-07216 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217 PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07229 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07221 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07222 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07223 Presentation to LaSalle Council </td <td>193Meeting with Sterling Fuels</td> <td>9-Mar-07</td>	193Meeting with Sterling Fuels	9-Mar-07
197Vertify with Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association4-Apr-07198Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group10-Apr-07199Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07201Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07203Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07206Meeting with City of Windsor28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07211Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07212Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Meeting15-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07218Mac Meeting23-Aug-07229Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07220Picesentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07221Picesentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07222Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224Presen	194 Heritage Park Alliance Church Meeting	16-Mar-07
198Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group10-Apr-07199Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07201Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets15-May-07203Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor28-May-07205Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07206Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07207Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Meeting15-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07229Presentation to LaSalle Council22-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07221Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07222Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council <t< td=""><td>195Natural Science Agencies' Meeting</td><td>27-Mar-07</td></t<>	195Natural Science Agencies' Meeting	27-Mar-07
199Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association10-May-07200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07202Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets15-May-07203Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07203Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor28-May-07206Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07221Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07222Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council13-Sep-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council13-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Repres	197 Meeting with Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association	4-Apr-07
200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)10-May-07202Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets15-May-07201Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07203Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07206Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07209Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting23-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07219Portschackshop Session22-Aug-07212Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07213Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07214Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities<	198Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group	10-Apr-07
202Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets15-May-07201Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07203Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07206Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07209Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Presentation to County of Essex Council15-Aug-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07218Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Portschation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07227Meeting with City of Windsor	199 Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association	10-May-07
201 Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC15-May-07203 Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07204 Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205 Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07205 Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07206 Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07208 Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209 Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209 Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210 Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211 Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212 Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07213 Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214 Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07217 PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07218 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219 PiOH5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221 PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07224 Presentation to LaSalle Council13-Sep-07225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07<	200PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	10-May-07
203Meeting with City of Windsor18-May-07204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07209Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07212PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07230Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07	202 Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	15-May-07
204Meeting with City of Windsor24-May-07205Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting21-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07219Ormmunity Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07212PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07223Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07228Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07231Meeting with Ci	201 Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC	15-May-07
205Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets28-May-07207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07208Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07212PIOH5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with RERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07228Muncipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor2	203 Meeting with City of Windsor	18-May-07
207Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church30-May-07206Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07212Derosentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting21-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07212Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor2-Nov-07	204 Meeting with City of Windsor	24-May-07
206Meeting with Town of Tecumseh30-May-07208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting21-Aug-07218Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07230Meeting with OFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	205 Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	28-May-07
208Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex31-May-07209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07219PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07	207 Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church	30-May-07
209Meeting with City of Windsor4-Jun-07210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting21-Aug-07218Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1523-Aug-07220PIOH 5Workshop Session23-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07 <t< td=""><td>206 Meeting with Town of Tecumseh</td><td>30-May-07</td></t<>	206 Meeting with Town of Tecumseh	30-May-07
210Presentation to County of Essex Council6-Jun-07211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07218Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	208 Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex	31-May-07
211Meeting with City of Windsor8-Jun-07213Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor2-Nov-07	209Meeting with City of Windsor	4-Jun-07
213 Detroit River Canadian Cleanup26-Jun-07214 Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215 Media Briefing14-Aug-07217 PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07218 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219 Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220 PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221 PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223 Presentation to LaSalle Council28-Aug-07224 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	210 Presentation to County of Essex Council	6-Jun-07
214Elected Officials Briefing14-Aug-07215Media Briefing14-Aug-07217PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07218Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	211 Meeting with City of Windsor	8-Jun-07
215 Media Briefing14-Aug-07217 PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07216 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219 Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220 PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07221 PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223 Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	213 Detroit River Canadian Cleanup	26-Jun-07
217PSAG Meeting15-Aug-07216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	214 Elected Officials Briefing	14-Aug-07
216 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 514 & 15-Aug-07219 Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220 PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07218 MAG Meeting23-Aug-07221 PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223 Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	215 Media Briefing	14-Aug-07
219Community Consultation Group Meeting #1521-Aug-07220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07230Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	217PSAG Meeting	15-Aug-07
220PIOH 5 Workshop Session22-Aug-07218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07221PIOH 5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	216Public Information Open House (PIOH) 5	14 & 15-Aug-07
218MAG Meeting23-Aug-07221PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	219Community Consultation Group Meeting #15	21-Aug-07
221 PIOH5 Workshop Session23-Aug-07222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223 Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224 Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	220 PIOH 5 Workshop Session	22-Aug-07
222Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)27-Aug-07223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	218 MAG Meeting	23-Aug-07
223Presentation to Tecumseh Council28-Aug-07224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	221 PIOH5 Workshop Session	23-Aug-07
224Presentation to LaSalle Council12-Sep-07225CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with City of Windsor2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	222 Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)	27-Aug-07
225 CANAAG Meeting13-Sep-07226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	223 Presentation to Tecumseh Council	28-Aug-07
226 Meeting with ERCA & MNR19-Sep-07227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	224 Presentation to LaSalle Council	12-Sep-07
227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities20-Sep-07228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	225 CANAAG Meeting	13-Sep-07
228Municipal Emergency Services Meeting4-Oct-07229Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	226Meeting with ERCA & MNR	19-Sep-07
229 Meeting with City of Windsor26-Oct-07230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	227 Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities	20-Sep-07
230 Meeting with DFO2-Nov-07231 Meeting with City of Windsor14-Nov-07	228 Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	4-Oct-07
231 Meeting with City of Windsor 14-Nov-07	229Meeting with City of Windsor	26-Oct-07
	230Meeting with DFO	2-Nov-07
232 Meeting with Windsor Crossing 19-Nov-07	231 Meeting with City of Windsor	14-Nov-07
	232 Meeting with Windsor Crossing	19-Nov-07

# MEETING	DATE	# MEETING	DATE
233Presentation to CSCE	21-Nov-07	275 CANAAG Agency Meeting	24-Jul-08
234Meeting with Trillium Court	28-Nov-07	274 Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) Workshops	23 & 24-Jul-08
235MAG Meeting	11-Dec-07	276 Meeting with Mr. Lalonde & Neighbours	29-Jul-08
236First Nations (WIFN)	13-Dec-07	277West Windsor Power Meeting	30-Jul-08
238 First Nations (WIFN)	11-Jan-08	278Brighton Beach Power Meeting	30-Jul-08
239Meeting with Ministry of the Environment (MOE)	29-Jan-08	279WECEC Bus Tour	6-Aug-08
240 First Nations (WIFN) Council Meeting	4-Feb-08	280 Meeting with Southwest Sales	6-Aug-08
241Meeting with Oliver Estates	19-Feb-08	281 Presentation at NATPO Conference	11-Aug-08
242 First Nations (WIFN) PIOH	26-Feb-08	282 First Nations (WIFN) Council Meeting	12-Aug-08
243Community Consultation Group Meeting #16 - invited to LAC	27-Feb-08	283 Meeting with PB/City of Windsor	19-Aug-08
244PSAG Meeting	19-Mar-08	284 Bell Utility Relocation Meeting	20-Aug-08
245Meeting with DFO	26-Mar-08	285 Meeting with Huron Church Line Residents	28-Aug-08
246PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	2-Apr-08	286 Union Gas Utilities Meeting	29-Aug-08
247MNR/ERCA Meeting	21-Apr-08	288MNR Meeting	3-Sep-08
248Essex County Medical Society	6-May-08	289Meeting with Dainty Foods	3-Sep-08
249Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	9-May-08	287 Tecumseh Utilities Meeting	3-Sep-08
250CBSA Meeting	14-May-08	290 Trillium Court Meeting	9-Sep-08
251MAG Meeting	15-May-08	291 Meeting with Essex Power Lines	18-Sep-08
252Community Consultation Group Meeting #17	21-May-08	292Meeting with Cogeco Cable	18-Sep-08
253Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	22-May-08	293MNR Meeting	22-Sep-08
254Windsor City Council	26-May-08	294DFO Meeting & Tour	23-Sep-08
256Presentation to Tecumseh Council	27-May-08	295 Southwestern Sales Meeting	25-Sep-08
258Presentation to Essex Council	4-Jun-08	296 River Park Board Meeting	30-Sep-08
259Presentation to LaSalle Council	10-Jun-08	298WECEC Meeting	2-Oct-08
260 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 6	18 & 19-Jun-08	297Meeting with ERCA	2-Oct-08
262Meeting with Nemak	24-Jun-08	299 Meeting with LaSalle Planning Department	3-Oct-08
263 CANAAG Meeting	25-Jun-08	300 Presentation to CAW Retirees	9-Oct-08
264 First Nations (WIFN) Meeting	25-Jun-08	301 Meeting with Montessori School	15-Oct-08
261 PIOH6 Workshops	24 & 25-Jun-08	302 Meeting with Spring Garden Residents	15-Oct-08
265Hydro One Meeting	11-Jul-08	303 Presentation to LaSalle Business Association	5-Nov-08
267Meeting with Spring Garden/Bethlehem Residents	15-Jul-08	305 Meeting with Kendleton Court Residents	6-Nov-08
266Meeting with City of Windsor	15-Jul-08	307 Meeting with Sansotta Residents	7-Nov-08
268CANAAG Agency Meeting	16-Jul-08	308 Meeting with Trillium Court Residents	10-Nov-08
269Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	16-Jul-08	310 Public Information Open House (PIOH) 7	24 & 25-Nov-08
271Community Consultation Group Meeting #18	16-Jul-08		
272CANAAG Meeting	22-Jul-08		
273Meeting with Windsor Essex County Environmental Committee	23-Jul-08		











### TABLE 3.2 – CONSULTATION MEETINGS BY CATEGORY

MEETING	DATE
Advisory Group 1	
WECEC Bus Tour	6-Aug-08
WECEC Meeting	2-Oct-08
MAG Meeting	29-Mar-05
MAG Meeting	21-Jun-05
MAG Meeting	14-Jul-05
MAG Meeting	29-Nov-05
MAG Meeting	19-Jan-06
MAG Meeting	7-Feb-06
MAG Meeting	11-Apr-06
MAG Meeting	26-Apr-06
MAG Meeting	24-May-06
MAG Meeting	5-Sep-06
MAG Meeting	29-Nov-06
MAG Meeting	23-Aug-07
MAG Meeting	11-Dec-07
MAG Meeting	15-May-08
Advisory Group 2	
CANAAG Meeting	31-Mar-05
CANAAG Meeting	22-Jun-05
CANAAG Meeting	1-Dec-05
CANAAG Meeting	29-Mar-06
CANAAG Meeting	6-Dec-06
CANAAG Meeting	13-Sep-07
CANAAG Meeting	25-Jun-08
CANAAG Agency Meeting	16-Jul-08
CANAAG Meeting	22-Jul-08
CANAAG Agency Meeting	24-Jul-08
Advisory Group 3	
PSAG Meeting	23-Mar-05
PSAG Meeting	23-Jun-05
PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	17-Nov-05
PSAG Meeting	7-Dec-05
PSAG Meeting	28-Mar-06
PSAG Meeting	6-Apr-06

MEETING	DATE
PSAG Meeting	8-Dec-06
PSAG Meeting	15-Aug-07
PSAG Meeting	19-Mar-08
PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	2-Apr-08
Advisory Group 4	
COOP Meetings (individual by organization)	22 & 23-Mar- 05
COOP Meeting	6-Dec-05
Advisory Group 5	
Nunicipal Emergency Services Meeting	8-Feb-06
RCMP/EMO/OPP/CBSA/Mun. Emergency Services Meeting	12-Apr-06
Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	27-Feb-07
Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	4-Oct-07
Municipal Emergency Services Meeting	16-Jul-08
Advisory Group 6	
School Board Meeting	26-Apr-06
Greater Essex County School Board Meeting	14-Dec-05
School Council Meeting	30-May-06
Presentation to Windsor-Essex County District School Board of Trustees	8-Jul-06
Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	19-Sep-06
Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	5-Dec-06
Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	1-Mar-07
Schools Advisory Group (SAG) Meeting	22-May-08
Advisory Group 7	
CBSA Meeting	17-Mar-05
CBSA Meeting	31-Mar-05
CBSA Meeting	18-May-05
CBSA Meeting	19-Oct-05
CBSA Meeting (+ tour)	11-Jan-06
CBSA Meeting	23-Mar-06
CBSA Meeting	7-Nov-06
CBSA Meeting	23-Jan-07
CBSA Meeting	14-May-08
Advisory Group 8	
Meeting with RCMP/NRCAN	10-Jul-06

MEETING	DATE
Meeting with RCMP/NRCAN	9-Mar-07
Advisory Group 9	
Meeting with Ministry of Tourism	3-Aug-06
Advisory Group 10	
MNR Meeting	18-May-0
Meeting with Ontario Ministry of the Environment (Noise)	6-Mar-07
Presentation to International Joint Commission (IJC)	27-Aug-0
Meeting with DFO	26-Mar-0
MNR/ERCA Meeting	21-Apr-0
MNR Meeting	3-Sep-08
MNR Meeting	22-Sep-0
DFO Meeting & Tour	23-Sep-0
Meeting with ERCA	2-Oct-08
Meeting with Essex Region Conservation Authority	30-Jan-0
Meeting with DFO	15-Feb-0
Natural Science Agencies' Meeting	27-Mar-0
Detroit River Canadian Cleanup	26-Jun-0
Meeting with ERCA & MNR	19-Sep-0
Meeting with DFO	2-Nov-0
Meeting with Ministry of the Environment (MOE)	29-Jan-0
Advisory Group 11	
Bi-National Coast Guard Meeting	13-Sep-0
Teleconference with Coast Guard	8-Jan-07
Meeting with Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association	4-Apr-07
Presentation to US Coast Guard Working Group	10-Apr-0
Meeting with Canadian Shipowners Association	10-May-0
Business Owner	
PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	2-Jun-08
Windsor Port Authority Meeting	2-Dec-0
Essex Aggregates Meeting	15-Dec-0
Essex Terminal Railway Meeting	15-Dec-0
Lou Romano Water Reclamation Plant Meeting	15-Dec-0
Van De Hogen Meeting	15-Dec-0







MEETING	DATE
Windsor Salt Meeting	15-Dec-05
Brighton Beach Power Meeting	16-Dec-05
Hydro One Meeting	16-Dec-05
Coco Corporation Meeting	16-Feb-06
Royal Canadian Legion Br. #594 Meeting	16-Feb-06
Port Authority & Sterling Fuels Meeting	1-Mar-06
St. Clair College Meeting	21-Jun-06
Heritage Park Alliance Church Meeting	21-Jun-06
Huron Church Business Owners Association Meeting	26-Jul-06
Meeting with Vidican Engineering	27-Jul-06
Presentation to DaimlerChrysler	15-Aug-06
Meeting with Valente Real Estate	7-Sep-06
Meeting with Dainty Foods	5-Dec-06
Windsor Port Authority Meeting	10-Jan-07
Meeting with Windsor Port Authority & Sterling Fuels	19-Jan-07
Meeting with Southwest Sales	30-Jan-07
Meeting with Royal Canadian Legion Br. 594	31-Jan-07
Meeting with Sterling Fuels	9-Mar-07
Heritage Park Alliance Church Meeting	16-Mar-07
PSAG Meeting (CAPC)	10-May-07
Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	15-May-07
Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	28-May-07
Presentation to Heritage Park Alliance Church	30-May-07
Meeting with Trillium Court	28-Nov-07
Meeting with Windsor Crossing Premium Outlets	9-May-08
Meeting with Nemak	24-Jun-08
West Windsor Power Meeting	30-Jul-08
Brighton Beach Power Meeting	30-Jul-08
Meeting with Southwest Sales	6-Aug-08
Meeting with Dainty Foods	3-Sep-08
Trillium Court Meeting	9-Sep-08
Southwestern Sales Meeting	25-Sep-08
Meeting with Montessori School	15-Oct-08
CCG	





	DATE
MEETING	DATE
Community Consultation Group Meeting #1	11-May-05
Community Consultation Group Meeting #2	9-Jun-05
Community Consultation Group Meeting #3	13-Jul-05
Community Consultation Group Meeting #4 - Joint with LAC	28-Sep-05
Community Consultation Group Meeting #5	25-Oct-05
Community Consultation Group Meeting #6	11-Jan-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #7	8-Feb-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #8 - Joint with LAC	22-Mar-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #9	27-Apr-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #10	26-Jun-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #11	6-Sep-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #12	26-Oct-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #13 – Joint w/US LAC	29-Nov-06
Community Consultation Group Meeting #14	21-Feb-07
Community Consultation Group Meeting #15	21-Aug-07
Community Consultation Group Meeting #16 - invited to LAC	27-Feb-08
Community Consultation Group Meeting #17	21-May-08
Community Consultation Group Meeting #18	16-Jul-08
Community Meetings	
Sandwich Community Heritage Group Meeting	15-Dec-05
Sandwich Community Task Force Meeting	10-Jan-06
Huron Church Business Owners Meeting	12-Jan-06
Sandwich Towne Community Task Force Tour of Delray	14-Jun-06
Sandwich Towne Community Meeting	25-Jan-07
Assumption Town Hall Meeting	3-Mar-07
Meeting with Oliver Estates	19-Feb-08
Meeting with Spring Garden/Bethlehem Residents	15-Jul-08
Meeting with Mr. Lalonde & Neighbours	29-Jul-08
Oakwood Parent's Council	10-Apr-06
Talbot Road Residents	18-Apr-06

MEETING	DATE
Presentation to Bellewood School	14-Nov-06
Meeting with Huron Church Line Residents	28-Aug-08
River Park Board Meeting	30-Sep-08
Meeting with Spring Garden Residents	15-Oct-08
Meeting with Kendleton Court Residents	6-Nov-08
Meeting with Sansotta Residents	7-Nov-08
Meeting with Trillium Court Residents	10-Nov-08
Council	
Windsor City Council	21-Mar-05
LaSalle Town Council	22-Mar-05
Essex County Council	20-Jun-05
Windsor City Council	20-Jun-05
Essex County Council	28-Nov-05
Windsor City Council	28-Nov-05
Windsor Ward 1&2 Councilors' Meeting	18-Jan-06
Windsor City Councilor Meeting	26-Jan-06
Briefing of Mayors & Warden	27-Mar-06
Meeting with LaSalle Councillors (not formal council meeting)	11-Jul-06
Meeting with Councilor Halberstadt	4-Dec-06
Mayor Briefing (PIOH #4)	6-Dec-06
Presentation to County of Essex Council	6-Jun-07
Elected Officials Briefing	14-Aug-07
Presentation to Tecumseh Council	28-Aug-07
Presentation to LaSalle Council	12-Sep-07
Windsor City Council	26-May-08
Presentation to Tecumseh Council	27-May-08
Presentation to Essex Council	4-Jun-08
Presentation to LaSalle Council	10-Jun-08
First Nations	
First Nations (Oneida)	4-May-05
First Nations (WIFN)	27-Jun-05
First Nations (WIFN)	20-Jan-06
First Nations (WIFN)	28-Feb-06
Presentation to WIFN Council	3-Apr-06
First Nations (WIFN)	9-Nov-06

MEETING	DATE
First Nations (WIFN)	23-Feb-0
First Nations (WIFN)	13-Dec-(
First Nations (WIFN)	11-Jan-0
First Nations (WIFN) Council Meeting	4-Feb-0
First Nations (WIFN) PIOH	26-Feb-0
First Nations (WIFN) Meeting	25-Jun-(
First Nations (WIFN) Council Meeting	12-Aug-(
Interest Group	
WWCTWC	26-May-(
MAG	
Meeting with Representatives of Affected Municipalities	20-Sep-(
Media	
Media Briefing	14-Aug-(
Municipality	
Meeting with Town of LaSalle	22-Feb-(
Meeting with City of Windsor	24-Feb-0
Meeting with County of Essex	24-Feb-0
MAG Meeting (Windsor Peer Review Team)	29-Jul-0
MAG Meeting (Tecumseh)	17-Aug-(
MAG Meeting (Windsor)	23-Aug-(
MAG Meeting (Windsor Peer Review Team)	29-Mar-(
Presentation to Windsor Essex County Environmental Committee	3-Apr-0
Meeting with City of Windsor Representatives	26-Jul-0
Meeting with City of Windsor Staff	13-Dec-(
Meeting with LaSalle Utilities	31-Jan-(
Meeting with Town of LaSalle re: HPAC	15-May-(
Meeting with City of Windsor	18-May-0
Meeting with City of Windsor	24-May-0
Meeting with Town of Tecumseh	30-May-0
Meeting with Town of LaSalle and County of Essex	31-May-(
Meeting with City of Windsor	4-Jun-0
Meeting with City of Windsor	8-Jun-0
Meeting with City of Windsor	26-Oct-0
Meeting with City of Windsor	14-Nov-(





MEETING	DATE
Meeting with City of Windsor	15-Jul-08
Meeting with PB/City of Windsor	19-Aug-08
Meeting with LaSalle Planning Department	3-Oct-08
Other Interest Groups	
Meeting with Citizens Protecting Ojibway Wilderness	5-Dec-06
Other Study Area/Interest Group	
Binational Border Agencies Meeting	21-Apr-05
US Border Agencies Meeting	12-May-05
NBEST Meeting	14-Jun-05
US Border Agencies Meeting	19-Dec-05
US Workshop Meeting	21-Dec-05
US Workshop Meeting	4-Jan-06
Windsor & District Chamber of Commerce Meeting	15-Feb-06
Windsor & District Chamber of Commerce Meeting	29-May-06
Meeting with Windsor Crossing	19-Nov-07
Presentation to CSCE	21-Nov-07
Essex County Medical Society	6-May-08
Meeting with Windsor Essex County Environmental Committee	23-Jul-08
Presentation at NATPO Conference	11-Aug-08
Presentation to CAW Retirees	9-Oct-08
Presentation to LaSalle Business Association	5-Nov-08
BASF Corporation Meeting	12-Jul-05
Other/Interest Group	
Tour of ACA with Mike Weis, University of Windsor	21-Feb-07
Recreational Boaters Meeting	28-Feb-07
PIOHs, Workshops & Public Community Meetings	
PIOH1 Workshop	14 & 20-Jul- 05
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 7	24 & 25-Nov- 08
Initial Public Outreach Meeting	5 & 6-Apr-05
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 1	21, 27 & 28-





MEETING	DATE
	Jun-05
Sandwich Development Task Force Meeting	30-Nov-05
PIOH2 Workshop (Plazas)	25-Jan-06
PIOH 2 Workshop (Routes)	26-Jan-06
Public Question & Answer Session	1-Feb-06
PIOH 2 Workshop (Routes Revised)	7-Feb-06
PIOH 2 Workshop (Plazas and Crossing)	9-Feb-06
Public Meeting (Talbot Road/Huron Church)	21-Feb-06
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 3	28 & 30-Mar- 06
PIOH2 Workshop	11-Apr-06
PIOH2 Workshop	12-Apr-06
PIOH3 Workshops	23-Jun-06
PIOH3 Workshops	24-Jun-06
Canadian CSS Bus Tour	26-Jun-06
Canadian CSS Workshops	2 & 3-Oct-06
Social Impact Assessment Workshop	21-Oct-06
CSS Workshop (Windsor)	15-Nov-06
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 4	06 & 07-Dec- 06
PIOH 4 Workshop	9-Jan-07
PIOH 4 Workshop	10-Jan-07
Social Impact Assessment Workshop	26-Jan-07
Social Impact Assessment Workshop	27-Jan-07
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 5	14 & 15-Aug- 07
PIOH 5 Workshop Session	22-Aug-07
PIOH5 Workshop Session	23-Aug-07
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 6	18 & 19-Jun- 08
PIOH6 Workshops	24 & 25-Jun- 08
Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) Workshops	23 & 24-Jul- 08
PIOHs, Workshops, Public & Community Meetings	
Public Information Open House (PIOH) 2	29 & 30-Nov- 05 and 01-

MEETING	DATE
Protect Windsor Meeting	15-Feb-06
Drilling Information Session with STCTF	31-Aug-06
Presentation to Windsor Essex County Environmental Committee	23-Nov-06
Proponent	
COOP Meetings (DRTP)	8-Apr-05
COOP Meetings (AMB)	28-Apr-05
COOP Meeting	28-Jun-05
US Group	
US Scoping Meeting	31-Aug-05
US LAC Meeting	26-Oct-05
US LAC Meeting	28-Nov-05
US Public Meeting	8-Dec-05
US Workshop Meeting	18-Jan-06
Tour of Sandwich with Detroit City Council	5-Apr-06
MDOT Tour for JIBA	10-May-06
US CSS Bus Tour	8-Jun-06
US CSS Workshops	24-Aug-06
CSS Workshop (Detroit)	3-Nov-06
US Public Meeting	27-Jun-05
Utility	
Hydro One Meeting	11-Jul-08
Bell Utility Relocation Meeting	20-Aug-08
Union Gas Utilities Meeting	29-Aug-08
Tecumseh Utilities Meeting	3-Sep-08
Meeting with Essex Power Lines	18-Sep-08
Meeting with Cogeco Cable	18-Sep-08











## 3.2 Public Information Open Houses, Workshops and Meetings

Public consultation began at the beginning of the study in January 2005 with a Notice of Study Commencement published in local newspapers. Over the study period, an Initial Public Outreach Meeting (IPO), seven Public Information Open Houses (PIOHs) and associated workshops have been held in which the study material has been presented to the public for their input and information. Follow up workshops were used to address specific issues and/or develop context sensitive solutions. The workshops were generally conducted with the aid of a facilitator. The public provided the study team with input into the materials presented. The study team has used this input in modifying the design of the alternatives and in analyzing the data at each step of the study process.

The IPO, PIOH, and workshop sessions are summarized in **Table 3.3**.









TABLE 3.3 – INITIAL PUBLIC OUTREACH MEETING.	PUBLIC INFORMATION OPEN HOUSES AND WORKSHOPS

PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
Initial Public Outreach (IPO) Meeting April 5 & 6, 2005	<ul> <li>Ontario Government Notice published in the following papers: LaSalle Silhouette, Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented to local councils and Advisory Group meetings in advance of the IPO meetings</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's contact lists (over 400 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 179 (91 at Windsor session, 88 at LaSalle session)	<ul> <li>Introduction to the Project Team &amp; the study</li> <li>Study, evaluation &amp; EA planning processes</li> <li>Key milestones</li> <li>Proposed evaluation criteria</li> <li>Short-term improvements</li> <li>How to stay involved</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the presentation boards</li> <li>Project Team contact sheet</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Indicate citizenship and use of the border for commuting</li> <li>Rate importance of specific principles while generating or developing new/expanded crossing alternative and connections to existing highways (on scale of 1-5)</li> <li>Input to evaluation criteria</li> <li>Mark areas of interest on aerial photo maps</li> </ol>	Total number of comment sheets received: 129 • 124 received in person at IPO • 5 received by mail/fax	<ul> <li>Preserve environmentally significant areas (concerned about impacts to Ojibway area)</li> <li>Consider air quality</li> <li>Health and quality of life of residents</li> <li>Consider tunnel option</li> <li>Consider other modes of transportation</li> <li>Keep trucks off local roads</li> </ul>	Team became aware of community issues re: air quality, significant natural areas and desire to consider tunnels. The interest of the community confirmed the need to develop a wide range of Illustrative Alternatives.
Public Information Open House #1 (PIOH1) June 21, 22 & 28, 2005	<ul> <li>Ontario Government Notice published in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart, LaSalle Silhouette</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented to local councils and Advisory Group meetings in advance of PIOH1</li> <li>Media Briefing Session and drop-in session for Windsor Councilors held prior to PIOH1</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public contact list (over 340 addresses) and advisory group contact lists (over 250 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 477 (255 at Windsor session, 155 at LaSalle session, 97 at Amherstburg session)	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of IPO</li> <li>Travel demand information</li> <li>Development of Illustrative Alternatives</li> <li>Alternative inspection plaza sites and conceptual layout'</li> <li>Crossing types</li> <li>Generation of connecting routes</li> <li>Evaluation criteria and proposed evaluation method</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the presentation boards</li> <li>Project Team contact sheet</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH1 Workshop sessions</li> <li>"Rating Tool Form"</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Agree/disagree with Purpose and Need for study</li> <li>Any additional plazas, crossings or route alternatives to consider</li> <li>Mark areas of interest on aerial photo maps</li> <li>Please comment on Factor Weights Using Rating Tool form</li> </ol>	Total number of comment sheets received: 181 • 169 received in person at PIOH • 12 received by mail/fax	<ul> <li>Preserve environmentally significant areas (concerned about impacts to Ojibway area)</li> <li>Consider air quality</li> <li>Health and quality of life of residents</li> <li>Opposed to Schwartz plan</li> <li>Consider tunnel option</li> <li>Consider other modes of transportation</li> <li>Consider routes outside (south) of study area</li> </ul>	Team awareness of air quality, natural concerns continued to develop. Many differing viewpoints, re: the Illustrative Alternatives confirmed the need for a thorough and systematic analysis of Illustrative Alternatives.
PIOH1 Workshops July 14 & 20, 2005	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH1</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH1 for sign-ups</li> <li>Followed up with phone call to those who signed up at PIOH to confirm attendance</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 19	<ul> <li>Results of Public Information Open House #1</li> <li>Discussion of Purpose and Problem Statement, including Travel Demand</li> <li>Discussion of Assessment of Other Alternatives (i.e. rail; diversion to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agenda</li> <li>Large scale maps (as shown at PIOH1) were shown to facilitate discussions</li> </ul>	Discussions centred around agenda items, and time was allotted to general questions during in an open forum setting	• N/a	<ul> <li>What are the time requirements and costs involved in this study</li> <li>Questions re: travel demand, use of other modes</li> <li>Who makes the decisions</li> </ul>	











PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
			<ul> <li>Blue Water Bridge)</li> <li>Review / Discussion of Illustrative Alternatives (Crossings, Plazas and Routes)</li> <li>Discussion of Evaluation Factors and Methods</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>and who will own the new crossing</li> <li>Connections to existing infrastructure</li> <li>Consultation, public input and next steps</li> </ul>	
SUMMARY	At the conclusion of the first round of pub based on thorough and systematic analys		e team further appreciated the wide range	of (and sometimes competing	) interests and preferences for alterr	native border solutions.	This reinforced the team's com	nitment to proceed
Public Information Open House #2 (PIOH2) November 29 & 30 and December 1, 2005	<ul> <li>Ontario Government Notice published in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart, LaSalle Silhouette</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented to local councils and Advisory Group meetings in advance of PIOH2</li> <li>Media briefing and drop-in session for Windsor Councilors held prior to PIOH2</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team'sgeneral public contact list (over 350 addresses) and advisory group contact lists (over 260 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> <li>Public Service Announcements placed on local community electronic billboards &amp; websites</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 433 (106 at Windsor session, 146 at LaSalle session, 181 at Sandwich Towne session)	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of PIOH1</li> <li>Evaluation process &amp; methods</li> <li>Evaluation of Illustrative Alternatives</li> <li>Results of analysis of alternatives</li> <li>Summary of Arithmetic Evaluation Results</li> <li>End-to-end evaluation</li> <li>Area of Continued Analysis</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the key presentation boards</li> <li>Project Team contact sheet</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH2 Workshop sessions</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Agree with results of Reasoned Argument analysis and Arithmetic Evaluation?</li> <li>Are there additional plaza, crossing or route alternatives within or outside ACA to consider as practical alternatives?</li> <li>Mark areas of interest on aerial photo maps</li> </ol>	Total number of comment sheets received: 108 • 99 received in person at PIOH • 9 received by mail/fax	<ul> <li>Protect natural areas such as Ojibway, Spring Garden ANSI, Black Oak Woods</li> <li>Protect established recreational trails &amp; fields</li> <li>Do not use Schwartz route</li> <li>Keep away from existing schools</li> <li>Use existing transportation corridors</li> <li>Tunnel the route</li> <li>Concern about decrease to property values</li> </ul>	Team determined that a tunnelled alternative should be developed and analysed as a Practical Alternative. Awareness of historical importance of Sandwich Towne was heightened leading to future meetings with key representatives from the community
PIOH2 Workshops January 25 & 26 and February 7 & 9, 2006	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH2</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH2 for sign-ups</li> <li>Followed up with letters to those who signed up at PIOH to confirm attendance at January or February workshops</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 183 (121 in January, 62 in February)	<ul> <li>January Workshops:</li> <li>Project Update / What's Next</li> <li>Brief Presentation by Project Team</li> <li>Workshop Exercises</li> <li>Project Team Responses to Issues Raised During Workshop Exercises</li> <li>February Workshops:</li> <li>Format was question &amp; answer on routes and plazas</li> </ul>	January Workshops: Agenda Orthophoto of ACA Plaza visualizations Comment sheet February Workshops: Agenda Proposed Evaluation Factors and	<ul> <li>January Workshops:</li> <li>General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about the project</li> <li>February Workshops:</li> <li>What are the priority areas for tunneling or for a depressed roadway?</li> <li>Are there other locations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total number of question cards received: 38 (18 in January, 20 in February)</li> <li>Total number of comment sheets received: 17</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>January Workshops:</li> <li>Received suggestions for suitable/unsuitable plaza locations</li> <li>Questions regarding alternatives</li> <li>Avoid natural areas</li> <li>February Workshops:</li> <li>Suggestions for</li> </ul>	Team gained better appreciation for local conditions which assisted in development of Practical Alternatives





er of heets 98 red in t PIOH ed by	<ul> <li>Protect natural areas such as Ojibway, Spring Garden ANSI, Black Oak Woods</li> <li>Protect established recreational trails &amp; fields</li> <li>Do not use Schwartz route</li> <li>Keep away from existing schools</li> <li>Use existing transportation corridors</li> <li>Tunnel the route</li> <li>Concern about decrease to property values</li> </ul>	Team determined that a tunnelled alternative should be developed and analysed as a Practical Alternative. Awareness of historical importance of Sandwich Towne was heightened leading to future meetings with key representatives from the community
nber of cards : 38 (18 ry, 20 ary) nber of t : 17	<ul> <li>January Workshops:</li> <li>Received suggestions for suitable/unsuitable plaza locations</li> <li>Questions regarding alternatives</li> <li>Avoid natural areas</li> <li>February Workshops:</li> <li>Suggestions for</li> </ul>	Team gained better appreciation for local conditions which assisted in development of Practical Alternatives





PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
				<ul> <li>Performance Measures table</li> <li>General and specific comment sheets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>where interchanges should be considered?</li> <li>Where should different highway crossings (vehicular/pedestrian) be located?</li> <li>What should the Project Team incorporate in the design of the roadway to improve its look and aesthetics and have it blend more seamlessly into the community?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>suitable/unsuitable areas for plazas and tuneling/ depressed roadway, highway interchange and crossing locations</li> <li>Suggestions for impacts/ opportunities to assess in evaluation of Practical Alternatives</li> <li>Suggestions for design components and plantings along the roadway</li> </ul>	
Public Question & Answer Session February 1, 2006	<ul> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH2 for sign-up</li> <li>Followed up with letters to those who indicated interest at PIOH to confirm attendance</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 78	<ul> <li>Project Status</li> <li>Common Questions &amp; Answers</li> <li>Group Questions</li> <li>Key Dates / What's Next</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Question card (for use during the meeting)</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> </ul>	General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about the project	Total number of question cards received: 18	<ul> <li>Concerns with air quality</li> <li>Who makes the decisions and who will own the new crossing</li> <li>Effects of project on properties and owners</li> <li>Coordination with US</li> <li>Next steps and how to stay informed &amp; involved</li> </ul>	Team continued to gain appreciation for high level of community interest and concern especially regarding air quality and tunneling
Public Meeting February 21, 2006	<ul> <li>Hand delivery of meeting notice to properties within and surrounding the area of continued analysis (approximately 3,600 addresses)</li> <li>Participants asked to email or call to register</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 339	<ul> <li>Project update &amp; current status</li> <li>Input to develop practical alternatives for new crossing, inspection plaza and connecting route</li> <li>Question &amp; Answer session</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Proposed Evaluation Factors and Performance Measures</li> <li>Question card (for use during the meeting)</li> </ul>	Discussions centred around development of practical alternatives; time was allotted to general questions during in an open forum setting	Total number of question cards received: 52	<ul> <li>Questions about air quality, protection of environmentally sensitive areas, vehicle emissions</li> <li>Concern with amount of property required</li> <li>Tunnel the access route</li> <li>Suggestions for other alternatives</li> </ul>	Team continued to gain appreciation for high level of community interest and concern especially regarding air quality and tunneling
SUMMARY	The second round of consultation was ins of below-grade alternatives and a full 6km				as they related to air quality and pro	otection of the natural e	environment. This awareness lec	l directly to inclusion
Public Information Open House #3 (PIOH3) March 28 & 30, 2006	<ul> <li>Ontario Government Notice published in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 812 (472 at Oldcastle session, 340 at Sandwich Towne	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of PIOH2 &amp; consultation to date</li> <li>Evaluation process &amp; methods</li> <li>End-to-end evaluation</li> <li>Crossing, plaza &amp; route alternatives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the presentation boards</li> <li>Project Team contact sheet</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH3 Workshop</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Are there other plaza and crossing options/ modifications to be considered?</li> <li>Comments on access road alternatives</li> <li>What are the most important</li> </ol>	Total number of comment sheets received: 232 • 215 received in person at PIOH • 17 received by mail/fax	<ul> <li>Tunnel instead of a bridge</li> <li>Put crossing outside Windsor</li> <li>Concerned with neighbourhood access, air quality, noise pollution</li> </ul>	Team proceeded with full analysis of 5 Practical Alternatives for the Access Road, including a 6km cut and cover tunnel, 3











PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
	<ul> <li>presented at Advisory Group meetings in advance of PIOH3</li> <li>Technical briefing session held for Mayors &amp; Wardens prior to PIOH3</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public and Advisory Group contact lists (over 1,400 addresses) as well as to property owners as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 7,500 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> <li>Public Service Announcements placed on local community electronic billboards &amp; websites</li> </ul>	session)	<ul> <li>Canadian side analysis results</li> <li>Sample river crossing visualization</li> <li>Inspection plaza alternatives</li> <li>Access route alternatives and access road conceptual visualizations</li> <li>Tunnelling</li> <li>Evaluation factors &amp; performance measures</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> </ul>	sessions	considerations in evaluation of plaza, crossing and access road alternatives 4. Mark areas of interest on aerial photo maps		<ul> <li>Depress the roadway</li> <li>Consider/minimize impacts during and after construction</li> <li>Consider emergency access</li> </ul>	plaza locations, and 3 bridge crossing locations in the ACA.
PIOH3 Workshops April 11 & 12, 2006	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH3</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH3 for sign-ups</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 91	<ul> <li>Public Input from PIOH 3 Sessions</li> <li>How We Got Here / Area of Continued Analysis / O-D</li> <li>Tunneling</li> <li>April 11<sup>th</sup> session focused on review/refinements to Access Road alternatives; April 12<sup>th</sup> session focused on review/refinements to Plaza &amp; Crossing alternatives</li> <li>Air Quality and Noise/Vibration Impact Assessment</li> <li>Introduction to the Ministry of Transportation Property Acquisition Process</li> <li>CBSA gave a presentation at April 12<sup>th</sup> session on roles, functions and responsibilities of CBSA</li> </ul>	Agenda     Comment sheet	<ul> <li>General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about the project</li> <li>Workshop format was general question &amp; answers session on access roads (April 11) and plazas &amp; crossings (April 12)</li> </ul>	Total number of comment sheets received: 24	<ul> <li>Concern about property value/impact to property</li> <li>Size of plaza footprint</li> <li>Concern with access to tunnelled portions of route</li> <li>Impacts to residents during construction</li> <li>Concerns with air quality and community connections</li> <li>Suggestions for alternate locations for access road, plaza and crossing</li> </ul>	Team increased its awareness of community values and began to gain a better sense of how "greening" could be effective as mitigation
CSS Public Workshops June 23 & 24, 2006	<ul> <li>Advertised in local area newspapers</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public contact lists (over 1,500 addresses) as well as to property owners &amp; tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 8,600 addresses)</li> <li>Participants asked to email or call to register</li> <li>Followed up with phone calls to</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 189 (116 on June 23, 73 on Jun 24)	<ul> <li>Presentation of examples of design elements to address concerns re: aesthetics and community impacts</li> <li>Open discussion to generate ideas for design elements for practical alternatives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agenda</li> <li>Workshop booklets and worksheets</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Large scale maps were shown to facilitate discussions and allow comments on specific areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What other options/ modifications to the plaza and crossings should be considered?</li> <li>Concerns or comments about access road alternatives</li> <li>What are most important considerations in the evaluation of access road and plaza &amp; crossing</li> </ul>	Total number of comment sheets received: 11	<ul> <li>Suggestions for alternate locations for access route; request to tunnel whole route</li> <li>Protect wildlife and green areas; plantings should be easy to maintain</li> <li>Concern with impacts of exhaust/diesel fumes</li> <li>Questions about property acquisition, project</li> </ul>	











PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
	those who indicated an interest to confirm attendance				alternatives?		timeline, and staying involved & informed	
CSS Public Workshops October 2 & 3, 2006	<ul> <li>Advertised in local area newspapers</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public contact lists (over 1,700 addresses) as well as to property owners &amp; tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 7,700 addresses)</li> <li>Participants asked to email or call to register</li> <li>Followed up with phone calls to those who indicated an interest to confirm attendance</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 169	<ul> <li>Aesthetic themes for the access road (Carolinian, Rose City, Motor City)</li> <li>Landscaping elements for the access road corridor and plaza buffer areas</li> <li>Themes for focus areas</li> </ul>	Workshop booklets and worksheets	<ul> <li>Worksheet questions:</li> <li>Comments on aesthetic themes for access roads</li> <li>What other themes or landscaping elements should be considered for the access road corridor and plaza buffer areas</li> <li>General comments</li> </ul>	• N/a	<ul> <li>Suggestions for features to incorporate into designs</li> <li>Concerns about costs related to maintenance, soil quality, safety issues</li> <li>Mitigate existing sensitive areas (acquire property)</li> <li>Include Canadian themes for plaza options</li> <li>Consider safety of pedestrians in landscaped spaces</li> </ul>	Team increased its awareness of community values and began to gain a better sense of how "greening" could be effective as mitigation
CSS Public Workshops November 2 & 15, 2006	<ul> <li>Advertised in local area newspapers</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public contact lists (over 1,800 addresses) as well as to property owners &amp; tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 8,300 addresses)</li> <li>Participants asked to email or call to register</li> <li>Followed up with phone calls to those who indicated an interest to confirm attendance</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 168	Conceptual design visions for new international bridge (suspension, cable stay) and themes (history, friendship)	<ul> <li>Workshop booklets and worksheets</li> <li>Computer simulation stations produced postcards for participants in response to answers re: design preferences</li> <li>Visual artist stations produced sketches for participants in response to answers re: design preferences</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Worksheet questions:</li> <li>Was workshop setup efficient and effective for displaying material and gathering ideas</li> <li>Are there other tools that could have enhanced the experience for visitors</li> <li>Was the technology provided intuitive/easy to utilize</li> <li>Would you like to see similar technology presented at future meetings</li> <li>Add any sketches to illustrate your ideas regarding the look &amp; fit of the new crossing</li> <li>General comments</li> </ul>	• N/a	<ul> <li>Comments supported the Historical Vision for the suspension bridge option and the Friendship Vision for the cable stay bridge option</li> <li>Preference for natural sustainable vegetation for Access Road</li> <li>More intensive plantings in pedestrian-oriented spaces</li> <li>Incorporate art and natural textures in surfaces</li> </ul>	
Public Information Open House #4 (PIOH4) December 6 & 7, 2006	<ul> <li>Ontario Government Notice published in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented at Advisory Group meetings in advance of PIOH4</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public and Advisory Group contact lists (over 2,000</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 510 (334 at Windsor session, 176 at Oldcastle session)	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of PIOH3 &amp; consultation to date</li> <li>Practical Alternatives</li> <li>Crossing &amp; plaza alternatives</li> <li>Governance</li> <li>US plaza alternatives</li> <li>Evaluation Factors</li> <li>Tunnelling</li> <li>Context Sensitive Solutions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the presentation boards</li> <li>CD of alternatives</li> <li>Project Team contact sheet</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH4 Workshop sessions</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Comments on preliminary analysis of the seven evaluation factors</li> <li>Suggestions for refinements/improvements to crossing, plaza or access road alternatives</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Total number of comment sheets received: 46</li> <li>36 received in person at PIOH</li> <li>7 received by mail/fax</li> <li>3 received by e-mail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Don't sacrifice homes</li> <li>Relocate wildlife</li> <li>Keep community linkages intact</li> <li>Plazas too close to natural areas</li> <li>Don't make cost a consideration</li> <li>Reduce impacts to natural areas</li> <li>Tunnel the route</li> </ul>	Continued community concerns, expressed at PIOHs plus other consultation meetings resulted in the team developing a 6 <sup>th</sup> Practical Alternatives for the Access Road, labelled as The









PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
	<ul> <li>addresses) as well as to property owners and tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 7,700 addresses) and Canada Post mail walks (over 12,300 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> <li>Public Service Announcements placed on local community electronic billboards &amp; websites</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Evaluation process &amp; methods</li> <li>Property acquisition</li> <li>Crossing visualizations</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> <li>Video simulations of access road alternatives</li> </ul>					Parkway
PIOH4 Workshops January 9 & 10, 2007	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH4</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH4 for sign-ups</li> <li>Followed up with phone call to those who signed up at PIOH to confirm attendance</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 27	<ul> <li>Breakout sessions on Plazas &amp; Crossings and Access Roads</li> <li>Summary and Next Steps</li> </ul>	Agenda     Comment sheet	<ul> <li>General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about the project</li> <li>Workshop format was general question &amp; answers session on access roads and plazas &amp; crossings</li> </ul>	Total number of comment sheets received: 1	<ul> <li>Concern with location of air quality monitoring stations, accuracy of AQ results, and impacts to cultural heritage features</li> <li>DRIC can have positive effect on tourism/ economic development</li> <li>Costs of tunnelling</li> <li>Concern with noise impacts; what are possible mitigation measures</li> <li>Next steps and how to stay informed &amp; involved</li> </ul>	
SUMMARY	All of the consultation to date and reaction	ns received at pub	lic venues led the team to the conclusion t	that an additional green alter	native for the Access Road should be	developed and consid	lered.	
Public Information Open House #5 (PIOH5) August 14 & 15, 2007	<ul> <li>Flyer was placed in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Leamington Post, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart</li> <li>Full-page advertisement published in Windsor Star</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented at Advisory Group meetings and media events held in advance of PIOH5</li> <li>Media briefing session held in advance of PIOH5</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public and Advisory Group contact lists (over 2,100</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 1,672 (919 at Windsor session, 753 at Tecumseh session)	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of PIOH4 &amp; consultation to date</li> <li>CEAA &amp; Ontario EA processes &amp; coordination</li> <li>Governance</li> <li>Property acquisition</li> <li>Evaluation process &amp; methods</li> <li>Summary of analysis of access road, plaza and crossing alternatives</li> <li>The Parkway alternative</li> <li>Connecting communities</li> <li>Context Sensitive Solutions</li> <li>Bridge types</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the presentation boards</li> <li>Fact sheets</li> <li>CD of alternatives</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH5 Workshop sessions</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Assessment of practical alternatives does not support further analysis of the end- to-end at-grade solution – do you agree/disagree?</li> <li>Assessment of practical alternatives found limited benefits to end-to-end cut and cover tunnel do not justify associated additional costs &amp; risks – do you agree/disagree?</li> <li>Suggestions to improve/ refine The Parkway alternative.</li> <li>Provide comments on practical alternatives,</li> </ol>	Total number of comment sheets received: 207 • 184 received in person at PIOH • 23 received by mail, fax, e-mail or via the project website	<ul> <li>As the gateway to Canada, Windsor deserves the best solution</li> <li>Concern about air quality; improve air quality</li> <li>Tunnel the route</li> <li>Concerned with traffic flow during construction</li> <li>Consider wildlife linkages</li> <li>Protect community connections</li> <li>Support for The Parkway</li> <li>Make the short tunnels longer</li> <li>Protect the natural areas</li> <li>Cost should not be a</li> </ul>	The team committed to furthed develop of The Parkway alternative and a full evaluation. Refinements to The Parkway based on the PIOHs and subsequent community meetings, included a new tunnel near Spring Garden and a shift of the Howard tunnel to a location opposite Oliver Estates. The









PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
	<ul> <li>addresses) as well as to property owners and tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 8,000 addresses) and Canada Post mail walks (over 12,300 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> <li>Public Service Announcements placed on local community electronic billboards &amp; websites</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>US study progress</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> <li>Video simulations of access road alternatives</li> </ul>		<ul><li>including The Parkway, by marking areas on aerial photo maps</li><li>5. Comments on preliminary analysis of seven evaluation factors</li></ul>		factor	overall length of tunnelling was increased from 1.5km to 1.86km
PIOH5 Workshops August 22 & 23, 2007	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH5</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH5 for sign-ups</li> <li>Advertised on project website and provided sign-up form</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 200+	<ul> <li>Overview of update on study process and progress</li> <li>Issues/concerns about analysis presented at PIOH5</li> <li>Comments on analysis to date</li> <li>Comments/ideas on new Parkway alternative</li> </ul>	Comment sheet	Comment sheet requesting comments/opinions on general topics of discussion	Total number of comments received: 235	<ul> <li>Suggestions for alternate locations for route, plaza and crossing</li> <li>Estimated timeframes for construction</li> <li>Concern about impacts to properties and residents, community connections</li> <li>Who makes the decisions; coordination with US</li> <li>Questions about AQ modeling, scrubbers, tunnel ventilation, impacts</li> <li>Consider end-to-end tunnel</li> </ul>	
SUMMARY	This round of consultation focused attenti eventually became the preferred alternati		eveloped Parkway Alternative. These me	etings plus subsequent consul	ations resulted in refinements to The	e Parkway and develop	oment of The Windsor-Essex Pa	kway, which
Public Information Open House #6 (PIOH6) June 18 & 19, 2008	<ul> <li>An advertisement was placed in the following papers: Windsor Star, Amherstburg Echo, Harrow News, Kingsville Reporter, Essex Voice, Leamington Post &amp; Shopper, Essex Free Press, LaSalle Post, Le Rempart</li> <li>Meeting dates and locations presented at Advisory Group meetings and media events held in advance of PIOH5</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public and Advisory Group contact lists (over 4,400 addresses) as well as to property</li> </ul>	Total number of sign-ins: 1,000 (658 at Windsor session, 342 at LaSalle session)	<ul> <li>Study schedule and key milestones</li> <li>Review of PIOH5 &amp; consultation to date</li> <li>CEAA &amp; Ontario EA processes &amp; coordination</li> <li>Governance</li> <li>Evaluation process &amp; methods &amp; study process</li> <li>Summary of analysis of Illustrative and Practical Alternatives</li> <li>Connecting communities</li> <li>Refinements to The Parkway alternative based on consultation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the display boards</li> <li>Fact sheets</li> <li>CD containing fact sheets, bridge types, images, display boards and TEPA</li> <li>Comment sheet</li> <li>Sign-up sheets for PIOH6 Workshop sessions</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Comments on evaluation process and choice of TEPA</li> <li>What mitigation methods should be explored as the TEPA proceeds into the next phase of study/ design?</li> <li>Do the tunnel locations provide adequate community connections &amp; access to greenspace?</li> <li>Comments on analysis of seven evaluation factors</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Total number of comment sheets received: 196</li> <li>189 received in person at PIOH</li> <li>7 received by mail, fax, e-mail or via the project website</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>TEPA is excellent choice; good, acceptable solution</li> <li>Concern re: maintenance of green areas</li> <li>Concern about air quality; improve air quality</li> <li>Support for GreenLink</li> <li>Concern about noise</li> <li>Protect wildlife</li> <li>Tunnel the route; add more tunnels</li> <li>Get started on construction</li> <li>Add more greenspace</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The team decided to have follow-up meetings with Spring Garden community; led to TEPA refinement</li> <li>The team reconsidered buffer areas near Chappus Street, Sansotta Court, Trillium Court, Kendleton Court,</li> </ul>









PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
	<ul> <li>owners and tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 8,000 addresses) and Canada Post mail walks (over 12,300 addresses)</li> <li>Details posted on project website</li> <li>Public Service Announcements placed on local community electronic billboards &amp; websites</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>The Windsor-Essex Parkway</li> <li>The Technically and Environmentally Preferred Alternative (TEPA)</li> <li>Summary of analysis of access road, plaza and crossing alternatives</li> <li>Bridge type study and bridge types</li> <li>Evaluation factors</li> <li>US study progress</li> <li>Context sensitive solutions</li> <li>What's next and how to stay involved</li> <li>Video simulations of access road alternatives</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>areas</li> <li>Route is close to properties</li> <li>Thank you for protecting sensitive natural areas</li> <li>Do whatever it takes, no matter the cost</li> </ul>	and Todd Lane The team revised tunnel design at Hearthwood and Coussineau
PIOH6 Workshops June 24 & 25, 2008	<ul> <li>Announced workshop dates at PIOH6</li> <li>Provided registration forms at PIOH6 for sign-ups</li> <li>Advertised on project website</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 110	<ul> <li>Design of Windsor-Essex Parkway</li> <li>Design features of preferred plaza and crossing alternative</li> <li>Mitigation measures to reduce impacts</li> </ul>	Comment sheet	General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about the project	Total number of comment sheets received: 25	<ul> <li>Comparison of Windsor- Essex Parkway to GreenLink solution</li> <li>Concern about impacts to properties and residents, community connections</li> <li>Concerns with air quality and noise; what is possible for mitigation</li> <li>Protect human health</li> <li>Amount of tunnelling is good; consider more tunnels</li> <li>Support for amount of parkland and green areas</li> </ul>	
Public Workshops July 23 & 24, 2008	<ul> <li>Advertised in local area newspapers</li> <li>Notices mailed directly to Project Team's general public contact lists (over 2,700 addresses) as well as to property owners &amp; tenants as identified and supplied by municipalities (over 4,400 addresses)</li> <li>Participants asked to email or call to register</li> </ul>	Total number of participants: 86	<ul> <li>Discussion of the TEPA design for the crossing, plaza and access road</li> <li>Exploration of how to best fit new transportation facilities and access road into the community</li> </ul>	Comment sheet	General comment sheet requesting comments on/ questions about material presented at workshops	Total number of comment sheets received: 13	<ul> <li>Comments on at-grade vs. below-grade roadway</li> <li>Specific comments on plaza and bridge</li> <li>Concerns about air quality and human health</li> <li>Suggestion to tunnel more of the route</li> <li>Support for TEPA</li> <li>Support for The Windsor- Essex Parkway design</li> </ul>	









PUBLIC EVENT	Advertising	Attendance	Topics/Material Presented/ Displayed	Handout Material	Comment Sheet Questions	Comments Received	Overview of Comments	Outcomes
							Preference for using natural features over man- made construction features	
SUMMARY	<ul> <li>This round of consultation served to focus awareness on direct impacts to adjacent properties. As a result of these concerns and comments, additional community meetings and reviews by the team were held. These in turn resulted in refinements to the preferred alternative including:</li> <li>Shifting The Parkway alignment further away from Spring Garden and adjusting ramp geometry to reduce community impacts and impacts to the very significant natural environmental features in the area;</li> <li>Increasing the buffer areas at Chappus Street, Sansotta Court, and Kendleton Court; and</li> <li>Introducing a cul-de-sac design near the terminus of Huron Church Line to better buffer local residents.</li> </ul>							
	Increasing the buffer areas	ment further away from Spi at Chappus Street, Sansot	tta Court, and Kendleton Court; and		cts and impacts to the very significant	natural environment	al features in the area;	









#### 3.3 **Community Groups**

In addition to the public events (Open Houses and Workshops), the team has met with individual community groups when requested or in response to specific issues and concerns. Meetings with communities have included:

- Sandwich Community;
- Spring Garden / Bethlehem / Armanda Street Community;
- Oliver Estates:
- Huron Church Line Residents:
- Kendleton Court Residents;
- Sansotta Residents:
- Trillium Court Residents (November 2008); and,
- Talbot Road Residents.

Consultation with each of these groups helped the study team to better understand issues and concerns identified by the communities, and allowed the team to provide clarifications and / or detailed information about the project. The information gained by the study team through these consultations has been included and considered in the analysis / evaluation of alternatives and mitigation for the preferred alternative, and have resulted in decisions including:

- A preferred bridge crossing and plaza location well removed from the historic area of Sandwich Towne:
- An additional tunnel section near Spring Garden / Bethlehem;
- A refined Parkway alignment to integrate The Parkway into the EC Row corridor further away from the Spring Garden area;
- A relocated tunnel section in the vicinity of Oliver Estates;
- A cul-de-sac design and relocation of existing Huron Church Line to reduce local traffic and provide a better buffer from The Parkway;
- Development of a Parkway alternative so as to provide a buffer area along Highway 3 / Talbot Road and Huron Church Road: and.
- Provision of additional buffer zones near Kendleton Court and Sansotta Court.

As well, it should be noted that consultation was a key component of the Social Impact Assessment (SIA) carried out for this study. For the assessment of practical plaza, crossing and access road alternatives, data collection for the SIA involved household questionnaires, social feature questionnaires, focus group sessions, input received as part of the public consultation efforts, stakeholder interviews, site visits, and review of various published secondary sources (e.g. Census Canada, City of Windsor). For the assessment of the Technically and Environmentally Preferred Alternative, data collection for the SIA included use of the social household questionnaire data, public



consultation activities and comment forms, context sensitive solution workshops, and the review of information provided by the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) property agents.

# **Community Consultation Group (CCG)**

The Community Consultation Group (CCG) was formed at the commencement of this study in the spring of 2005. The Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) in coordination with Transport Canada (TC) invited interested individuals from the City of Windsor, Town of LaSalle, and Essex County to participate in the study as part of the Community Consultation Group. Members of the public with a variety of backgrounds and interests joined the CCG and volunteered their time to meet and share ideas and concerns. In total 73 citizens have enrolled as CCG members.

The primary role of the CCG was to operate as a forum for open dialogue and information exchange between the study team and the public. CCG members were asked for their advice/input and joint exploration of key issues, concerns, challenges, and opportunities. CCG meetings were held at key milestones of the study to review and comment on project materials and analysis.

In total, 18 CCG meetings have been held at key milestones of the study. Meetings have been well attended with an average attendance of 29. While some members have come and gone, a core group of approximately 20 has remained engaged over the life of the study. The majority of the meetings held with the CCG were presentation-style meetings and question and answer sessions. The presentations consisted of the study team presenting new data and information to the CCG, and then seeking input and feedback from the CCG members regarding the materials presented. At each CCG meeting, members of the public were invited to attend as observers only. They were encouraged to ask questions at specific points in the meeting.

The CCG has provided the team with an excellent barometer of community concerns and issues. Team members have contributed to the team's awareness of the need for a new border crossing and connection to the freeway network and have articulated concerns regarding air guality, the natural environment, specific community concerns, and tunneling. Its accomplishments are reflected in many of the study decisions and outcomes, including decisions to stay out of the most sensitive natural areas, avoid impacts on the historic area of Sandwich Towne and fully analyze a tunneling alternative. Of particular note is that the study team modified its study analysis to include a full year of air quality monitoring along the Highway 3 / Huron Church Line corridor. This was done as a direct result of consultation with the CCG.

## **Municipalities**

The following sub sections summarize the consultation which took place with the Municipal Advisory Group (MAG) and with individual municipalities.

#### 3.5.1 Municipal Advisory Group (MAG)

The MAG, convened at the study outset, has included senior staff officials from the municipalities and county as well as school board representatives. Specifically, the MAG consisted of the following:







3.5

3.4





- City of Windsor;
- Town of LaSalle;
- Town of Tecumseh:
- Town of Lakeshore:
- Town of Amherstburg;
- Town of Essex: and.
- County of Essex.

Throughout the duration of the study, the following school boards were also invited to join the MAG:

- Greater Essex County District School Board;
- Windsor-Essex Catholic District School Board:
- Conseil Scolaire de District des Ecoles Catholigues du Sud-Quest; and,
- Conseil Scolaire de District Centre-Sud-Quest.

As with the CCG, the MAG has served as an excellent barometer for articulating municipal and community concerns. A series of 14 meetings with MAG have occurred since the study began. The MAG has also contributed significantly to the development and refinement of project alternatives. The MAG has made many positive contributions, however in particular, MAG members highlighted the importance of retaining a roadway which would meet the local and regional functions of the existing Highway 3 / Huron Church Road corridor. This was influential in the development of practical alternatives which provided for a service road which separates local / regional traffic from international traffic.

As well, MAG members articulated a vision for the future Highway 3 / Highway 401 interchange which would provide full traffic movements as well as divert longer distance traffic away from Howard Avenue in the City of Windsor. This led directly to abandonment of some early alternative interchange layouts and development of new alternatives (one of which has been selected) at this location that would provide full traffic movements, and divert traffic away from Howard Avenue. The selection of the preferred interchange alternative was a collaborative effort of the MAG team and the study team.

The Municipal Advisory Group also requested the study team to consider the use of roundabouts at one or more strategic locations in the corridor. This led directly to consideration of roundabouts and selection of a roundabout for the Highway 3 / Highway 401 interchange ramps.

In addition to meetings with the MAG, the team has also attended two meetings of the Windsor and Essex County Environmental Committee, a committee that advises both City Council and County Council. Bus tours for members were also arranged. These meetings have provided opportunity for continuing dialogue particularly relative to The Parkway alternative, discussion of air quality, and review of issues associated with the plaza alternatives.

Consultations with staff from individual municipalities have also occurred throughout the study. These included introductory meetings early in 2005 and meetings to gain better mutual appreciation of the study and of the concerns of municipalities. Each of these meetings has been beneficial. In general they augmented discussions held at MAG meetings and have helped the study team develop the practical alternatives, as they related to the configurations of the service road, interchanges and access/egress ramps. The discussions with the City of Windsor and its consultants leading up to and following the development of The Parkway alternative are of particular note and are summarized in Section 3.5.2 below.

#### 3.5.2 City of Windsor

The Schwartz Report was released by the City in January 2005. This report outlined a vision for a new border crossing and plaza in the Brighton Beach area, and a controlled access facility connecting to Highway 401. The report discounted alternatives, such as, use of EC Row Expressway, and the DRTP Corridor through the centre parts of Windsor. The report considered access road alternatives in the Huron Church Road/ Highway 3 corridor, the corridor which was ultimately selected by the study team as the preferred route for the access road.

In the summer of 2005, the City of Windsor formed a Peer Review team. The Peer Review Team reviewed and provided detailed comments on the illustrative alternatives that had been announced by the study team in June 2005.

In March 2006, the city provided comments and questions to the study team, including questions about the selection of access road corridor.

In April 2007, City Council passed a resolution supporting the inclusion of tunnelling in the access road corridor, and emphasizing the need to mitigate impacts on local residents.

Informal consultations continued into the spring and summer of 2007 with growing interest around a concept which would be a combination of the tunneled and below-grade alternatives. At meetings with the City of Windsor the notion of a more "green" parkway-like alternative emerged. The concept, as conceived by the City, would include a green corridor with tunneled sections, a grade separated recreational trail system, and extensive urban design of the green areas.

The study team built upon the vision to develop The Parkway Alternative, which was released for public comment in August 2007. The alternative included 10 tunneled sections (total length 1.5km), a grade separated recreational trail network, and extensive areas of future parkland.

In response to The Parkway, the City of Windsor released a concept for the access road which it called GreenLink in October 2007. The GreenLinkWindsor proposal was similar to the August 2007 Parkway in many respects. Both the GreenlinkWindsor and The Parkway, included:

- A six-lane below-grade freeway with separate service roads for local traffic;
- Tunnelled sections in key locations to link communities;
- Hundreds of acres of green space, with new spaces for community features;
- Walking and biking trails which allow pedestrians and cyclists to travel from E.C. Row;
- Expressway to Howard Avenue without ever crossing paths with a vehicle;
- local streets:
- The same general layout of roadways and interchanges;





Air guality and noise improvements by eliminating stop and go truck traffic and getting trucks off





- Nearly identical property requirements with buffer areas between the roadway and the adjacent community; and,
- An opportunity to create a signature gateway welcoming travellers to Canada, Ontario and Windsor and Essex County.

However, there were also some significant differences. The most significant of these was the fact that GreenLinkWindsor proposed approximately 3.8km of tunneled section as opposed to the 1.5km proposed in the August 2007 Parkway. GreenlinkWindsor featured individual tunnels greater than 240 m in length (two tunnels were greater than one kilometre in length). Specifically, GreenLinkWindsor proposed longer tunneled sections than The Parkway in the areas of Spring Garden/Bethlehem/Grand Marais, Todd Lane/Cabana Rd and Cousineau Rd/Sandwich West Parkway/Hearthwood Place.

In addition, GreenLinkWindsor included a tunnel section under the Grand Marais Drain. The Parkway alternative was developed to pass over the Grand Marais Drain to avoid construction in difficult ground conditions and the associated problems related to schedule impacts, constructability risks, and the increased costs associated with a tunneled crossing in this area.

The study team reviewed publicly available information on the GreenLinkWindsor proposal and, in the fall of 2007, met with the City and its consultants on a couple of occasions. These meetings provided the opportunity for the study team to gain improved understanding of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal and for the City representatives to gain improved understanding of The Parkway alternative. Subsequently, in March 2008, the City provided more analysis of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal to the study team.

The study team carefully reviewed and assessed all of the information available about the GreenLinkWindsor proposal, and considered the extent to which it would be appropriate to modify the August 2007 Parkway alternative.

A preliminary review of the air quality implications of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal in comparison to The Parkway alternative was completed by SENES Consultants Limited. SENES is responsible for all of the air quality work undertaken for the study, and is a subconsultant to URS Canada Inc. The review by SENES focused on the potential impacts of the three long tunnel sections proposed as part of the GreenLink alternative.

Based on SENES' detailed work conducted previously for the *Practical Alternatives Evaluation Working* Paper, SENES determined that, on a Windsor airshed basis, the air guality is generally not impacted by any of the alternatives, including a full 6km tunnel. The GreenLinkWindsor proposal could be considered an "intermediate" alternative between The Parkway and the full 6km tunnel that was assessed previously. The assessment concluded that the greatest impacts from roadways were typically limited to within the first 50-100 m of the roadway corridor when comparing one alternative to another, and in SENES' professional opinion, GreenLinkWindsor was sufficiently similar to the other alternatives that this conclusion would not change. As the six kilometer tunnel alternative did not have substantial air quality benefits, neither would the shorter tunnels that were proposed in the GreenLinkWindsor proposal. Therefore, GreenLinkWindsor was not expected to impact Windsor air quality in any manner that is significantly different from the practical alternatives that were analyzed in detail.

Localized differences are detectable between the GreenLinkWindsor proposal and the practical alternatives. For GreenLinkWindsor, there are three local air quality impacts to consider with the tunnels:

- The impact on the community adjacent to the tunnel;
- The impact on receptors near the tunnel portals; and,
- The impact on the air quality on the tunnel covered area (green space).

An analysis submitted by the City's consultant indicated that predicted concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Todd Lane / Cabana Road area would be essentially identical (+ 0.2 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) compared to the DRIC forecasts. The study team concluded that the ability to reliably predict concentrations to less than 1  $uq/m^3$  was questionable, particularly given the inherent uncertainty in many of the model parameters.

Based on the above, the study team concluded that the longer tunnels proposed in the GreenLinkWindsor proposal offered no significant overall air quality benefits over The Parkway or the other practical alternatives.

With respect to any potential noise reductions associated with the longer tunnel sections proposed in the GreenLinkWindsor proposal, the study team again turned to its analysis of Alternative 3, the 6km tunnel, as compared to the below-grade alternatives. That analysis showed that future noise levels for a below-grade freeway could be limited to acceptable levels, and in some cases reduced, from a future 'Do Nothing' scenario particularly when standard noise mitigation measures (berms and/or barriers) were applied. The MTO acknowledged that these mitigation measures would be included with The Parkway and other below-grade alternatives.

The study team also considered the extent to which the longer GreenLinkWindsor tunnels would enhance community connectivity. It is acknowledged that longer tunnel sections potentially provide more space for active recreation on the tunnel roof; however, the team concluded that the 120 – 240m lengths provided by The Parkway alternative would provide adequate opportunities for community connections in pedestrian friendly environment.

The GreenLinkWindsor proposal had the same general footprint and property requirements as that of The Parkway and therefore, the overall impacts to the natural environment were considered relatively equal. The only difference between the two options from a natural perspective was the potential for restoration and enhancement opportunities on the additional greenspace that could be provided on top of the longer GreenLinkWindsor tunnel sections. However, given the overall anticipated impacts to the natural environment from both alternatives, this additional benefit was considered relatively minor.

Last but not least, the study team assessed the GreenLinkWindsor proposal from the cost and constructability viewpoint. Some of the estimates presented by the City were not comparable to the estimates prepared for the practical alternatives and The Parkway (i.e. length of roadway included, freeway cross section and inclusion of allowance for inflation). The study team developed a cost estimate for GreenLinkWindsor proposal, on the same basis as the estimates that had been developed for the practical alternatives and The Parkway alternative. Using this approach, the study team estimated the cost of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal at \$2.3 to \$2.5-billion about \$700 to \$900millionmore than the estimate of \$1.6-billion that was developed for The Windsor-Essex Parkway alternative in the spring of 2008.











The study team was also concerned that the longer tunnels in the GreenLinkWindsor proposal would require introduction of mechanical ventilation in tunnels, would cause increased risk associated with movement of hazardous goods through longer tunnels. The GreenLinkWindsor proposal to tunnel under Turkev Creek added increased risks to construction cost and schedule.

Based on the above assessment, the study team concluded that the benefits of the longer tunnels identified in the GreenLink proposal did not justify the expenditure of an additional \$750-million.

The study team had solicited comments on its Parkway alternative at the August 2007 PIOH's in order to identify how The Parkway could be improved. The study team reviewed and assessed the City's material on that basis, along with suggestions of other stakeholders including other municipalities, ministries agencies and the public. As noted above, the study team concluded that the increased cost of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal (\$700 to \$900-million) did not result in enough additional benefit in terms of air quality, noise reduction, and community connectivity to warrant adoption of the GreenLinkWindsor proposal. However, in response to the GreenLinkWindsor proposal and in response to other suggestions received after the August 2007 PIOHs, the study team made a number of refinements to the August 2007 Parkway. These refinements were adopted in order to reduce the negative effects of The Parkway and to the extent practicable, to improve the transportation benefits and community benefits of The Parkway.

A new tunnel section was added near Spring Garden Road, and the tunnel at Howard Avenue was relocated and lengthened. There were also other minor shifts to tunnel lengths and portal locations. In total these resulted in increasing the amount of tunneled section in The Parkway from 1.5km to 1.86km. Refinements were made to the recreational trail system, to reduce property impacts, and yet retain the principle that trail users are able to traverse The Parkway corridor without having to cross a lane of traffic. A new loop ramp was introduced at Todd Lane, in response to concerns expressed by emergency services regarding access to the freeway. The Howard Avenue/Highway 3 interchange was modified to include a connection to Howard Avenue and the possible future Laurier Parkway extension. Details of these refinements are discussed in Chapter 8.

The refined Parkway alternative was identified as The Windsor-Essex Parkway (refer to **Exhibit 8.14**). The Parkway alternative was analyzed in accordance with the seven major factors and evaluated against the practical alternatives, i.e. the at-grade and below-grade alternatives, as well as the cut and cover tunnel alternative.

#### 3.6 **First Nations**

Consultation with the First Nations began at the study commencement in January, 2005. The First Nations groups that were initially consulted include the following:

- Walpole Island First Nations;
- Oneida Nation of the Thames:
- Caldwell First Nation;
- Munsee Delaware Nation;
- Aamjiwnaang;



- Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point;
- Moravian of the Thames: and
- Chippewas of the Thames.

Early in the study, Walpole Island First Nation demonstrated a desire to actively participate in the study, and the study team has continued to consult directly with Walpole Island First Nation. In addition however, each First Nation group identified in the list above has been invited to comment on study materials at each key milestone of the study. All First Nations groups were notified of the EA study via a study commencement package including follow-up phone calls / letters. Additionally, mailing notices were also sent to each group prior to Public Information Open Houses and workshops.

To date, 12 meetings have been held with the First Nations. Issues identified at the meetings included:

- Possession of artifacts found;
- Piers in the river/disturbance of river bottom:
- Air and water quality;
- Species at Risk;
- Introduction of Foreign Species;
- Detroit River land claim:
- Legal duty to consult;
- Sharing of information with other First Nations;
- Funding for meaningful participation;
- Economic opportunities; and, •
- Reflect historical presence in the naming of the bridge.

In response to these concerns, the Ontario government has provided funding for Walpole Island to retain a consultant to review and provide input to the teams study materials and findings. A community meeting was held in February 2008 with Walpole Island First Nations to present the study alternatives to the members of Walpole Island and gather their input and comments about the study and the team discussed the project with the Council in the summer of 2008. Input received from the Walpole Island First Nation members has related to environmental mitigation, archeological preservation and opportunities for meaningful employment. Walpole Island First Nation were also asked to provide their input and comment regarding the technical work completed at each milestone phase of the study. Input received from Walpole Island has been incorporated into the ongoing evaluation of the illustrative and practical alternatives. Recently additional discussions with respect to mitigation have occurred.

#### 3.7 **Schools**

The study team has recognized the proximity of several schools to the area of continued analysis. Therefore, in addition to inviting Board representatives to MAG meetings the study team has met with specific Boards on request. Also, at the request of representatives from Oakwood Public School, a



Administration





Schools Advisory Group was established. Although only a few meetings have transpired, consultation with this group has served to heighten awareness of the proximity of the schools and related concerns. This has influenced, in part, the development of The Windsor-Essex Parkway with 11 tunneled sections as the preferred alternative.

### 3.8 Business Owners

Over the course of the study there have been numerous consultations with individual business institutions. The team's economic consultant carried out an overall economic assessment which is documented in the *Practical Alternatives Evaluation Working Paper – Economic Impact, April 2008* (refer to List of Supporting Documents). In addition, members from the core team have held over 35 meetings with individual businesses, institutions, and associations. These meetings have served as useful dialogue so that both the project and its benefits and impacts are understood. Where appropriate these meetings have resulted in detailed negotiations to proactively mitigate impact.

### 3.9 Crossing Owners, Operators and Proponents Group (COOP)

At the outset of the project, there were several private interests with specific proposals for new border crossings. These included:

- Canadian Transit Company / Detroit International Bridge Co., owners and operators of the Ambassador Bridge;
- Detroit & Canada Tunnel Corporation;
- The Detroit River Tunnel Partnership (DRTP) a dedicated international truck route and tunnel river crossing;
- MichCan International Bridge Company an international bridge proposal in the vicinity of Brighton Beach;
- Hennepin Point Crossing a proposed international bridge crossing downstream near Amherstberg; and,
- Border Gateways.

The study team consulted with each of these groups individually and collectively to ensure that their proposals were understood and that they understood the Partnerships objectives and EA study. Based on these meetings, the above-noted proposals were included in the development, analysis and evaluation of illustrative alternatives.

## 3.10 Private Sector Advisory Group (PSAG)

The combined Canadian and US study teams formulated a bi-national Private Sector Advisory Group and invited owners from many businesses (both in Canada and the US) to participate. This has served as a useful method to provide timely information to a large number of businesses, and has resulted in



further contact with several individual businesses, as documented below. These meetings have given the team a better understanding of the economic importance of an efficient border crossing system.

American Chamber of Commerce in Canada

Automotive Parts Manufacturer's Association

BP Canada Energy Company

Canadian Association of Importers and Exporters Inc.

Canadian Manufacturers and Exporters

Canadian Trucking Alliance

Canadian/American Border Trade Alliance

City of St. Catharines

Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters

DaimlerChrysler (Canada & Michigan)

Essex Terminal Railway Company / Morterm Limited

Ford of Canada, General Motors (Canada & US)

Great Lakes Pilotage Authority

Hydro One Networks Inc.

International Business Consultants of Canada Inc.

Lou Romano Water Reclamation Plant

Motor and Equipment Manufacturers Association

Ontario Chamber of Commerce

SLH Transport Inc.

Sysco Food Services





3	Association of International Automobile Manufacturers (Canada & US)
า	Bison Transport Inc., Border Gateways
	Brighton Beach Power
	Canadian Auto Partnership Council, Canadian Chamber of Commerce
	Canadian Shipowners Association
	Canadian Vehicle Manufacturers' Association
	Chamber of Maritime Commerce
	CN Rail / US Government Affairs
	Coco Group of Companies
	Detroit Regional Chamber
ı	Fednav Limited
	Gorski Bulk Transport Inc.
	Honda Canada Inc.
	Industry Canada
la	Lake Carriers' Association
	Michigan Trucking Association
	Norfolk Southern Railway
	Ontario Trucking Association
	Sterling Marine Fuels

The Canadian Salt Company Limited





Tourism Industry Association of Ontario	US Great Lakes Pilotage Association
District 2	United States Consulate General
University of Windsor	Windsor & District Chamber of Commerce
Windsor Construction Association	Windsor-Essex County Development Commission
Southern Ontario Gateway Council	Corp. of Professional Great Lakes Pilots
Lakes Pilots Association, Inc.	Seaway Marine Transport
V.Ships Canada Inc.	

#### 3.11 **Canadian Border Services Agency (CBSA)**

The team has met numerous times with CBSA throughout the study. CBSA has provided direct input regarding the plaza requirements in terms of size, proximity to the border, capacity, and components. They have reviewed and commented on alternative layouts and continue to advise on the layout and requirements at the preferred plaza location. To ensure that the plaza alternatives were viable and would operate smoothly the operations for each practical alternative were simulated under year 2035 traffic conditions using customized simulation software.

#### 3.12 **Emergency Services (EMS) / RCMP**

The study team has consulted several times with EMS representatives (police, fire, and ambulance) as well as the RCMP. Meetings with EMS representatives have helped shape the location of access opportunities for the practical alternatives and for the preferred alterative. In particular, their input has influenced the access ramp locations at the Todd Land / Cabana Road intersection.

The team asked the RCMP to review the practical alternatives for the plazas and river crossing from a threat security viewpoint. This review was undertaken and with the conclusion that each alternative was viable and could be made secure with no undue threat to safety and security.

#### 3.13 **Environmental Agencies**

### 3.13.1 Canadian Agency Advisory Group (CANAAG) / Individual Ministries and Agencies

The CANAAG was formed at the study outset to ensure that review and approval agencies would be brought into the process early and at timely study milestones. CANAAG consists of the following:

Canadian Coast Guard, Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency

**Environment Canada** 

Essex Region Conservation Authority

Foreign Affairs & International Trade Canada

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada

Medical Officer of Health

Natural Resources Canada

Ontario Ministry of Culture

Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs & Housing

Ontario Ministry of Northern Development & Mines

Ontario Ministry of Tourism and Recreation

Ontario Tourism Marketing Partnership Corporation

Transport Canada – Marine

The objective has been to take their concerns and requirements into account throughout the development analysis, evaluation and mitigation phases. As well an objective to ensure that they inturn were abreast of study developments as they occurred, and had opportunities for input.

The consultation began in 2005 with initial meetings and development of work plans for major environmental disciplines. Draft work plans were reviewed and comments provided by review / approval agencies and amended accordingly. These work plans served to guide the data collection and analysis for these environmental disciplines. To date, 11 meetings with the CANAAG have been held. These meetings have served to update members on study progress, distribute draft reports for review, and receive input.

In addition to CANAAG meetings, over 15 meetings have been held with individual ministries and approval agencies, including:





Canada Political/ Economic Relations and Public Affairs
Canadian Transportation Agency
Essex County OPP
Fisheries & Oceans Canada
Health Canada
International Joint Commission
National Energy Board
Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food
Ontario Ministry of Economic Development & Trade
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
Ontario Ministry of the Environment
Ontario Realty Corporation
Roval Canadian Mounted Police

Windsor Port Authority.





- Essex Region Conservation Authority (ERCA);
- Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO);
- Ministry of Environment (MOE);
- Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR);
- International Joint Commission (IJC);
- Transport Canada;
- Health Canada;
- Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing;
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency;
- Canadian Citizenship and Immigration Office;
- Ministry of Agriculture;
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs;
- Trade Canada; and,
- Ministry of Economic Development Trade.

These meetings have been critical to and have helped shape the extensive environmental mitigation measure outlined in the Mitigation chapter of this report.

## 3.14 Individual Detroit River Authorities

The Detroit River Authorities include the Transport Canada, the Windsor Port Authority, the US Coast Guard, Canadian Shipowners Association, Canadian Great Lakes Pilots Association, and the International Joint Commission. The study team consulted with these agencies to determine whether it would be viable to have bridge piers in the Detroit River as part of the international crossing. The placement of even one pier in the river would lower the cost of the bridge by tens of millions of dollars. However, after consultation with these groups (and realizing that there would be environmental impacts by having a pier in the river) the Partnership decided that a full span of the river (no piers in the river) was the only viable options. Aside from the environmental concerns, one or more piers in the river would significantly detract from shipping and docking safety in the area.

# 3.15 **Pre-Submission Review**

This document has been / will be circulated to municipalities, review agencies and the general public for review and input prior to finalization and formal submission to the Minister of the Environment.

## 3.16 Summary

Since the beginning of the study in 2005, consultation has been an integral component of the project. Municipalities, agencies, businesses, communities, the public at large, and First Nations have been involved in the over 300 meetings and events which have occurred. The consultation has helped shape every phase of the study leading up to the recommended alternative and development of mitigating measures.









